



PUBLIC MEETING AGENDA
Thursday, September 24, 2026, at 9:00AM
Livestreaming at

https://youtube.com/live/scdZMirP_C8?feature=share

Call to Order

Indigenous Land Acknowledgement

Declaration of Interests under the Code of Conduct for Members of a Police Service Board Regulation and the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*.

Chief's Monthly Verbal Update

Executive Director's Monthly Verbal Update

Confirmation of the Minutes from the regular public meeting held on July 23, 2026.

Items for Consideration

1. **Public Minutes of the Central Joint Health and Safety Committee meetings held on October 29, 2025; April 16, 2026 and June 8, 2026.**
2. September 8, 2024 from Louis Tsilivis, Executive Director
Re: Semi-Annual Report: Toronto Police Service Board Special Fund Unaudited Statement: January to June 2026
3. **Special Fund Requests**
 - 3.1 September 8, 2026 from Louis Tsilivis, Executive Director
Re: Request for Special Funds – Association of Black Law Enforcers' 34th Annual Scholarship and Awards Gala

- 3.2 September 4, 2026 from Louis Tsilivis, Executive Director
Re: Request for Special Funds – 8th Annual Healing the Voice Within Gala
- 3.3 September 1, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Inaugural Missing Persons and Unidentified Remains Conference - September 2026
- 4. July 17, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Pre-Qualification of Vendors for Information Technology Professional Services
- 5. July 7, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Contract Award to Ovations Ontario Food Services Inc. for Boxed Lunch Services for International Federation of Association Football (F.I.F.A) 2026
- 6. July 21, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Contract Extension and Increase with Versaterm Public Safety Inc. for Software Support and Maintenance for the eJust Case Management System and Criminal eIntake Interface
- 7. August 1, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Contract Extension and Increase with Yonge-Steeles Ford Lincoln Sales Limited for the Supply of Ford Automotive Parts
- 8. July 7, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Semi-Annual Report: Suspended Police Officers – January 1, 2026, to June 30, 2026
- 9. **Chief's Administrative Investigation Reports**
 - 9.1 July 10, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Firearms Discharge at a Person – Complainant 2025.32
 - 9.2 July 10, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2025.56

- 9.3 July 10, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2025.64
- 9.4 July 10, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Firearm Death of Complainant 2025.66
- 9.5 July 10, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Death of Complainant 2025.69
- 9.6 July 10, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Firearm Injury of Complainant 2025.70
- 9.7 July 10, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Other Death of Complainant 2025.71
- 9.8 July 10, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police
Re: Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2026.13

Please note that the Board will move *in camera* shortly after commencing the meeting for consideration of confidential items, which will now take place prior to attending to the held public agenda items. **It is estimated that the regular public meeting will resume at approximately 1:00PM.**

The Board will move *in-camera* for consideration of confidential matters pursuant to Section 44 (1) of the *Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019* (CSPA)

MOTION

That the Toronto Police Service Board move *in camera* before attending to any held public items, to discuss the following subject matters in accordance with Section 44(2) of the *Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019*:

- 1. Investigative Matters**
- 2. Operational Matters**
- 3. Labour Relations Matters**
- 4. Human Resources Matters**

Adjournment

Next Meeting

Regular Board Meeting

November 12, 2026

Hybrid Board Meeting – at Police Headquarters, 40 College Street or virtually via WebEx

Members of the Toronto Police Service Board

Shelley Carroll, Chair
Amber Morley, Member & Deputy Mayor
Lily Cheng, Member & Councillor
Nick Migliore, Member

Chris Brillinger, Vice-Chair
Lisa Kostakis, Member
Ann Morgan, Member



Confirmation of the Minutes from the regular public meeting held on July 23, 2026



PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES

Thursday, July 23, at 9:00AM

Livestreamed at: https://youtube.com/live/6cWA_jXmZxl?feature=share

The following *draft* Minutes of the hybrid public meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026, are subject to approval at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

Attendance:

The following Members were present:

Shelley Carroll, Chair and Councillor
Chris Brillinger, Vice-Chair
Ann Morgan, Member
Lisa Kostakis, Member
Nick Migliore, Member
Amber Morley, Member and Deputy Mayor
Lily Cheng, Member and Councillor

The following individuals were also present:

Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police, Toronto Police Service
Louis Tsilivis, Executive Director, Toronto Police Service Board
Diana Achim, Board Administrator, Toronto Police Service Board
Allyson Amster, City Solicitor, City of Toronto – Legal Services Division

Declarations:

There were no declarations of interest under the Code of Conduct for Members of a Police Service Board Regulation and the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*.

**This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the
Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026**

P2026-0723-0.1. Board Minutes

Confirmation of the Minutes from the regular public meeting held on May 14, 2026.

The Board approved the Minutes.

Moved by: A. Morley
Seconded by: C. Brillinger

**This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the
Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026**

P2026-0723-0.2. Remarks and moment of silence in honour of Marc Pinizzotto of the Toronto Police Service, Provincial Constable Tarun Bali of the Ontario Provincial Police, and Police Officer Mohamed Lamine Benredouane of the Service de police de la Ville de Montréal.

Chair Carroll made the following remarks:

Before we begin today's meeting, and on behalf of the Board, I would like to pay tribute to three brave officers who recently lost their lives in the line of duty: Training Constable Marc Pinizzotto of the Toronto Police Service, Provincial Constable Tarun Bali of the Ontario Provincial Police, and Police Officer Mohamed Lamine Benredouane of the Service de police de la Ville de Montréal.

These officers dedicated their lives to serving and protecting others. They answered a calling that demands extraordinary bravery and commitment, and they made the ultimate sacrifice in service to their communities. Their loss is felt deeply - not only by their families, friends, and colleagues, but by policing communities across Ontario and Canada.

The loss of any officer is profoundly tragic. The loss of several officers within such a short period is especially heartbreaking. At moments like these, we are reminded of the risks that police officers face every day in service to their communities and of the extraordinary sacrifices made by them and their loved ones.

We also extend our support to the RCMP and Durham Regional Police Service following two recent tragedies. Our hearts are with the officers shot in Saskatchewan and their families, as well as the loved ones of Durham Constable-in-training Tyrone Magbitang, who tragically lost his life, and the cadet who was critically injured.

Today, we stand together in remembrance and solidarity with policing communities across the country. We honour those who have fallen, support those who continue to serve, and recognize the enduring impact these tragedies have on families, colleagues,

and communities alike.

To the Members of the Toronto Police Service, these losses may be felt deeply, both professionally and personally. In this time of grief, I encourage you to lean on one another and make use of the wellness resources and supports available through the Service. Please remember that you are not alone.

On behalf of the Board, I also want to express our sincere gratitude for your service. Every day, you accept significant risks to keep our city safe, and we do not take that commitment lightly. Your dedication, courage, and professionalism are deeply valued by the Board and by the communities you serve.

I now ask everyone present to join me in a moment of silence to honour Training Constable Pinizzotto, Provincial Constable Bali, Police Officer Benredouane and Constable-in-training Magbitang.

[Held moment of silence]

Thank you.

Before we get to the rest of the agenda, I would like to take a moment to recognize and thank everyone who contributed to the success of Toronto's FIFA World Cup 2026 operations.

Over the course of the tournament, Toronto Police Service Members demonstrated exceptional teamwork, professionalism, and dedication. From planning, coordinating, and staffing six FIFA World Cup matches, while continuing to support the many major events and day-to-day policing needs across our city, this was a remarkable undertaking and a tremendous achievement.

With global attention focused on Toronto, our city welcomed thousands of visitors and fans from around the world. Throughout the tournament, TPS members rose to the occasion, helping ensure a safe, secure, and welcoming experience for all.

Our officers managed large crowds, kept celebrations safe, responded professionally during periods of intense heat, and represented both the Toronto Police Service and our city with distinction.

I also want to recognize the many civilian members who worked tirelessly behind the scenes.

The success of an event of this magnitude depends on meticulous planning, coordination, logistics, communications, and operational support. Their expertise, commitment, and countless hours of work were essential to ensuring that Toronto was ready for every challenge and opportunity.

As the tournament has now concluded, we can all take pride in the role TPS played in helping Toronto shine on the world stage. The efforts of our Members were instrumental to the success of the largest sporting event ever hosted in our city.

I would like to extend a special thank you to Superintendent David Ecklund and Inspector Barry O'Neil for their outstanding leadership throughout the planning and execution of these operations. Their vision, coordination, and dedication were vital to this success.

On behalf of the Board, thank you to all Members of the Service, for your professionalism and dedication. You have made Toronto proud.

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-1.0. Requests for Special Funds

P2026-0723-1.1. Request for Special Funds – Champions of Change 2026 Awards Gala

The Board was also in receipt of a report dated June 15, 2026 from Louis Tsilivis, Executive Director.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that, as an exception to its Special Fund Policy, the Toronto Police Service Board (the Board) approve funding in the amount of \$5,000.00 to sponsor the 2026 Champions of Change Mental Health Awards Gala organized by Canada Beyond the Blue.

2026-0723-1.2. Request for Special Funds: Funeral Reception Expenses-Training Constable Marc Pinizzotto

The Board was also in receipt of a report dated July 3, 2026 from Louis Tsilivis, Executive Director.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that as an exception to the Special Fund Policy, the Board approve an expenditure in the amount of \$85,880.57 which represents the Board's portion (50%) of the total costs related to hosting the reception following the visitation and funeral for Training Constable Marc Pinizzotto.

Deputation: Nicole Corrado (written submission only)

The Board received the written deputation and approved the foregoing reports.

Moved by: C. Brillinger
Seconded by: L. Kostakis

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-2.0. Toronto Police Service Board Special Fund – Annual Specified Procedures Report for the Year Ending December 31, 2025

The Board was in receipt of a report dated June 11, 2026 from Louis Tsilivis, Executive Director.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that, the Board receive the annual Specified Procedures Report, performed by KPMG LLP.

Deputation: Kris Langenfeld (virtual)

Board Members asked questions and discussed this matter. For a detailed account of the discussion, see the YouTube recording starting at minute 6:25:44 here: https://www.youtube.com/live/6cWA_jXmZxl?si=SoHNuR00yQ5ELs5a&t=23142

The Board received the deputation and the foregoing report.

Moved by: C. Brillinger
Seconded by: L. Kostakis

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-3.0. Pre-Qualification of Vendors for Minor Building Repairs

The Board was in receipt of a report dated June 1, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendations:

1. Approve the pre-qualification of the three vendors listed below for the provision minor building repairs for a three-year period commencing on July 27, 2026, and ending on July 26, 2029, at a total estimated cost of \$7.5 Million (M) over the three-year term:
 - a. H.N. Construction Limited;
 - b. PAC Building Group; and
 - c. BDA Inc.
2. Authorize the Chair to execute any required agreements and related documents on behalf of the Board, subject to approval by the City of

Toronto (City) Solicitor as to form.

Deputations: Kris Langenfeld (virtual)

Board Members asked questions and discussed this matter. For a detailed account of the discussion, see the YouTube recording starting at minute 6:34:07 here:

https://www.youtube.com/live/6cWA_jXmZxI?si=h5XC0VEwR-JXY1Kc&t=23645

The Board received the deputation and approved the foregoing report.

Moved by: C. Brillinger

Seconded by: L. Kostakis

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-4.0. Contract Award to Rampart International Corp. for 9mm Glock Pistols with Red Dot Optics, Flashlights and Holsters

The Board was in receipt of a report dated June 1, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendations:

- 1) Approve a contract award to Rampart International Corp. (Rampart) for 9mm Glock pistols with red dot optics, flashlights and holsters, as outlined below, for the period September 1, 2026, to September 30, 2027, at an estimated cost of \$10.54 Million (M), excluding taxes;
 - a) 5,861 G45 9mm pistols equipped with red dot optics, flashlights and duty holsters;
 - b) 500 G43X compact Slimline pistols equipped with red dot optics, flashlights and plainclothes holsters
 - c) 100 G45R Practice Pistols; and
 - d) 100 G45T/Simunition Pistols
- 2) Authorize the Chair to execute all required agreements and related documents on behalf of the Board, subject to approval by the City Solicitor, as to form.

Deputation: Nicole Corrado (virtual) (written submission included)

The Board received the deputation and approved the report.

Moved by: C. Brillinger

Seconded by: S. Carroll

P2026-0723-5.0. Contract Award to Softchoice Canada Inc. for Endpoint and Related Equipment and Services

The Board was in receipt of a report dated June 4, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendations:

1. Approve the award of a contract to Softchoice Canada Inc. (Softchoice) for endpoint equipment, related services, and associated support for a five-year term from August 1, 2026, to July 31, 2031, at an estimated cost of \$46.89 Million (M) (excluding taxes), with the option to extend the contract for up to two additional one-year terms at an estimated cost of \$13.49M (excluding taxes), for a total potential seven-year cost of \$60.38M (excluding taxes).
- 2) Authorize the Chair to execute all required agreements and related documents on behalf of the Board, subject to approval by the City Solicitor as to form; and
- 3) Authorize the Chief of Police to exercise the optional extension periods to satisfactory vendor performance, continued funding and continued business need.

Deputation: Kris Langenfeld (virtual)

Board Members asked questions and discussed this matter. For a detailed account of the discussion, see the YouTube recording starting at minute 6:44:37 here: https://www.youtube.com/live/6cWA_jXmZxl?si=WGAOws_nx4QITZpF&t=24275

The Board received the written deputation and approved the report.

Moved by: L. Cheng
Seconded by: S. Carroll

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-6.0. Bi-Annual Aggregate Disciplinary Measures Report – Pursuant to Section 215 of the Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019

The Board was in receipt of a report dated May 22, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information and publish within 30 days to meet legislative requirements

Deputations: Kris Langenfeld (virtual)
Nicole Corrado (virtual) (written submission included)

Board Members asked questions and discussed this matter. For a detailed account of the discussion, see the YouTube recording starting at minute 7:05:54 here: https://www.youtube.com/live/6cWA_jXmZxI?si=p6ONKSSnP_M383KO&t=25552

The Board received the deputations and the foregoing report.

Moved by: C. Brillinger
Seconded by: L. Kostakis

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-7.0. 2025 Toronto Police Service Board Annual Report

The Board was in receipt of a report July 15, 2026 from Louis Tsilivis, Executive Director.

Recommendations:

1. Adopt the 2025 Annual Report; and
2. Direct the Executive Director to file the 2025 Annual Report with the City of Toronto and publish it on the Board's webpage.

Deputations: Kris Langenfeld (virtual)

The Board received the deputation and approved the foregoing report.

Moved by: C. Brillinger
Seconded by: A. Morley

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-8.0. 2025 Toronto Police Service - Chief's Annual Report

The Board was in receipt of a report June 30, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information and publish this report on the Internet, as required by the *Community Safety and Policing Act*, 2019 (C.S.P.A.), Ontario Regulation

399/23.

Deputations: Kris Langenfeld (virtual)
Nicole Corrado (virtual) (written submission included)

Board Members asked questions and discussed this matter. For a detailed account of the discussion, see the YouTube recording starting at minute 7:21:50 here:
https://www.youtube.com/live/6cWA_jXmZxl?si=R0hUUPxfLTAIZL1y&t=26507

The Board received the deputations and the foregoing report.

Moved by: S. Carroll
Seconded by: L. Kostakis

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-9.0. Toronto Police Service 2025 Annual Statistical Report

The Board was in receipt of a report dated May 28, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report

Deputations: Kris Langenfeld (virtual)
Nicole Corrado (virtual) (written submission included)

Board Members asked questions and discussed this matter. For a detailed account of the discussion, see the YouTube recording starting at minute 7:55:38 here:
https://www.youtube.com/live/6cWA_jXmZxl?si=AvriHCRmn3c0zqeP&t=28535

The Board received the deputations and the foregoing report.

Moved by: L. Kostakis
Seconded by: C. Brillinger

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-10.0. Annual Report: April 1, 2025 to March 31, 2026 – Grant Applications and Contracts

The Board was in receipt of a report dated June 1, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

Board Members asked questions and discussed this matter. For a detailed account of the discussion, see the YouTube recording starting at minute 8:08:59 here: https://www.youtube.com/live/6cWA_jXmZxI?si=ipyDxZOxMSAv9U7T&t=29337

The Board received the foregoing report.

Moved by: A. Morley
Seconded by: L. Kostakis

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-11.0. Annual Report of Co-operative, Joint and Consolidated Procurements for 2025

The Board was in receipt of a report June 1, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report.

The Board received the foregoing report.

Moved by: A. Morley
Seconded by: N. Migliore

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-12.0. Annual Report of Non-Competitive Purchases for 2025

The Board was in receipt of a report dated June 1, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report.

The Board received the foregoing report.

Moved by: A. Morgan
Seconded by: L. Kostakis

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-13.0. 2025 Annual Reports: City Traffic Agents (C.O.T.), University of Toronto (U of T), Toronto Community Housing Corporation (T.C.H.C.), and Toronto Transit Commission (T.T.C.) - Special Constables

The Board was in receipt of a report dated May 20, 2026, from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

P2026-0723-13.1. Toronto Transit Commission Special Constables Annual Report

P2026-0723-13.2. Toronto Community Housing Corporation Community Safety Unit Annual Report

P2026-0723-13.3. Traffic Agent Annual Report 2025

P2026-0723-13.4. University of Toronto – St. George Campus Annual Report

P2026-0723-13.5. University of Toronto Scarborough Campus Safety Annual Report

Recommendations:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive the 2025 annual reports from the C.O.T., U of T, T.C.H.C., and T.T.C.

Deputation: Nicole Corrado (virtual) (written submission included)

Board Members asked questions and discussed this matter. For a detailed account of the discussion, see the YouTube recording starting at minute 8:18:06 here:

https://www.youtube.com/live/6cWA_jXmZxI?si=1rqsC8rTnL5cLxzc&t=29884

The Board received the deputation and the foregoing reports.

Moved by: N. Migliore
Seconded by: C. Brillinger

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-14.0. Special Constable Re-Appointments – July 2026

The Board was in receipt of a report dated May 27, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) approve the agency-initiated re-appointment requests for the individuals listed in this report as special constables for the Toronto Community Housing Corporation (T.C.H.C.) and the Toronto Transit Commission (T.T.C.).

The Board approved the foregoing report.

Moved by: C. Brillinger
Seconded by: L. Kostakis

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-15.0. Agreement with The University of Toronto Regarding Special Constables

The Board was in receipt of a report dated June 24, 2026 from Wendy Walberg, City Solicitor.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board):

1. Approve the agreement between the Board and the Governing Council of The University of Toronto regarding the appointment and governance of special constables, attached as Appendix "A" to this report;
2. Authorize the Executive Director of the Board to forward the draft agreement to the Solicitor General for their information; and
3. Authorize the Chair to execute the agreement on behalf of the Board, on terms and conditions satisfactory to the Chair and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

Deputations: Michael Munroe (in person) (written submission included)
Director, University of Toronto Campus Safety Special Constable Service

Derek Moran (in person) (written submission included)
Nicole Corrado (virtual) (written submission included)

The Board received the deputations and approved the foregoing report.

Moved by: L. Kostakis
Seconded by: N. Migliore

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-16.0. Inquest into the Death of Gedi Ali Gedi Verdict of the Jury

The Board was in receipt of a report dated May 19, 2026 from Wendy Walberg, City Solicitor.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive the foregoing report.

Deputation: Nicole Corrado (virtual) (written submission included)

The Board received the deputation and foregoing reports.

Moved by: L. Kostakis
Seconded by: C. Brillinger

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-17.0. Request for Review of a Service Complaint Investigations

Chair Carroll moved the following Motion which was approved by Vice-Chair Brillinger:

That the Board consider item 17 first in order to accommodate a deputant and the ASL Interpreter.

P2026-0723-17.1. Request for Review of a Service Complaint Investigation: – Professional Standards (P.R.S.) Case Number – PRS-105974 Inspectorate of Policing (I.O.P.) Complaint Number: 25-960/INV-25-678

The Board was in receipt of a report dated June 10, 2026, from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendations:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board):

1. Determine whether to concur with the decision that action be taken with respect to this complaint, and;
2. Advise the complainant, the Inspector General (I.G.), and the Solicitor General of any steps taken in response to this complaint.

Deputy Mayor Morley moved the following Motion which was seconded by Vice-Chair Brillinger:

That the Board:

- 1. Concur with the recommendations;**
- 2. Forward the Board's decision to the Inspectorate of Policing (IOP), Solicitor General and Complainant.**

P2026-0723-17.2. Request for Review of a Service Complaint Investigation: – Professional Standards (P.R.S.) Case Number – PRS-104545 Inspectorate of Policing (I.O.P.) Complaint Number: 25-747/INV-25-155

The Board was in receipt of a report dated March 13, 2026, from Myron Demkiw Chief of Police.

Recommendations:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board):

1. Determine whether to concur with the decision that action be taken with respect to this complaint, and;
2. Advise the complainant, the Inspector General (I.G.), and the Solicitor General of any steps taken in response to this complaint.

Deputy Mayor Morley moved the following Motion which was seconded by Vice-Chair Brillinger:

That the Board:

- 1. Request the Chief of Police, as part of the Service's ongoing efforts to strengthen frontline service delivery and customer experience through respectful, consistent, and service-oriented interactions with members of the public, consider opportunities to require officers to proactively**

inquire whether interpreter services may be required where there are indications that an individual may have a hearing impairment.

- 2. Concur with the Chief's recommendations;**
- 2. Forward the Board's decision to the Inspectorate of Policing (IOP), Solicitor General and Complainant.**

**P2026-0723-17.3. Request for Review of a Service Complaint Investigation: – Professional Standards (P.R.S.) Case Number – PRS-105053
Inspectorate of Policing (I.O.P.) Complaint Number: 25-707/INV-26-4**

The Board was in receipt of a report dated May 22, 2026, from Myron Demkiw Chief of Police.

Recommendations:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board):

1. Determine whether to concur with the decision that action be taken with respect to this complaint, and;
2. Advise the complainant, the Inspector General (I.G.), and the Solicitor General of any steps taken in response to this complaint.

Deputy Mayor Morley moved the following Motion which was seconded by Vice-Chair Brillinger:

That the Board:

- 1. Concur with the recommendations;**
- 2. Forward the Board's decision to the Inspectorate of Policing (IOP), Solicitor General and Complainant.**

Deputations: James Guglielmin (in person)
Kris Langenfeld (virtual)
Nicole Corrado (virtual) (written submission included)
Michael Lewis (written submission only)

Board Members asked questions and discussed this matter. For a detailed account of the discussion, see the YouTube recording starting at minute 6:04:16 here: https://www.youtube.com/live/6cWA_jXmZxl?si=ZqOGOlnehsk-RhkK&t=21853

The Board received the deputation, approved the foregoing reports and Motions.

Moved by: A. Morley
Seconded by: C. Brillinger

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-18.0. Chief's Administrative Investigation Reports

P2026-0723-18.1. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2025.37

The Board was in receipt of a report dated April 17, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

P2026-0723-18.2. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2025.39

The Board was in receipt of a report dated April 17, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

P2026-0723-18.3. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Discharge of a Firearm at a Person – Complainant 2025.41

The Board was in receipt of a report dated April 17, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information as per O. Reg. 391/23 s.9(2)(b).

P2026-0723-18.4. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2025.47

The Board was in receipt of a report dated April 17, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

P2026-0723-18.5. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Discharge of a Firearm at a Person – Complainant 2025.50

The Board was in receipt of a report dated April 17, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information as per O. Reg. 391/23 s.9(2)(b).

P2026-0723-18.6. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Vehicle Injury of Complainant 2025.54

The Board was in receipt of a report dated May 22, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

P2026-0723-18.7. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury Complainant 2025.55

The Board was in receipt of a report dated May 22, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

P2026-0723-18.8. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2025.58

The Board was in receipt of a report dated May 22, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

P2026-0723-18.9. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2025.62

The Board was in receipt of a report dated May 22, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

P2026-0723-18.10. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2025.63

The Board was in receipt of a report dated May 22, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

P2026-0723-18.11. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Alleged Sexual Assault of Complainant 2025.68

The Board was in receipt of a report dated May 22, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Deputation: Nicole Corrado (virtual) (written submission included)

The Board received the deputation and received the foregoing reports.

Moved by: L. Kostakis
Seconded by: A. Morley

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-19.0. Proposed Amendments to the Board's Committees By-law 162 – Appointments Committee

The Board was in receipt of a report dated July 15, 2026 from Louis Tsilivis, Executive Director.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Toronto Police Service Board (the Board) approve the amendments to Section 2.1 of the Committees By-law to delegate the authority to terminate a police officer's employment during their probationary period to the Appointments Committee and ensure alignment with the Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019.

The Board approved the foregoing reports.

Moved by: C. Brillinger
Seconded by: A. Morgan

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-20.0. Correspondence from City Council

P2026-0723-20.1. Correspondence from City Council – April 2026

The Board was in receipt of a report dated May 19, 2026 from Louis Tsilivis, Executive Director.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Board receive the following report for information.

Deputy Mayor Morley moved the following Motion which was seconded by Chair Carroll:

THAT:

- 1. The Board forward the City Council's request in MM40.32 to the Chief of Police and request that he report back to the Board as appropriate:**
- 2. The Board request the Chief of Police to consider and report back to the Board on:**
 - **Developing and publicizing an educational and enforcement protocol for Motorized Watercraft Exclusion Zones, in collaboration with the Toronto Port Authority; and**
 - **Enhancing boating and water-safety enforcement through a multi-year strategy that reflects city-wide policing needs**
- 3. That the Board direct the Chief of police to work with the City of Toronto and relevant stakeholders on the above.**

P2026-0723-20.2. Correspondence from City Council – June 2026

The Board was in receipt of a report dated July 13, 2026 from Louis Tsilivis, Executive Director.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Board receive the following report for information.

Chair Carroll moved the following Motion which was seconded by Deputy Mayor Morley:

THAT:

The Board direct the Chief of Police, in collaboration with the City Manager, to establish a process for commemorating officers killed in the line of duty.

Board Members asked questions and discussed this matter. For a detailed account of the discussion, see the YouTube recording starting at minute 8:44:40 here:

https://www.youtube.com/live/6cWA_jXmZxl?si=cMLEkDjhPLxSyC1a&t=31478

The Board approved the Motions and received the foregoing reports.

Moved by: S. Carroll
Seconded by: A. Morley

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-21.0. Report: Professionalism and Accountability – 2025 Annual

The Board was in receipt of a report dated July 23, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

Board Members asked questions and discussed this matter. For a detailed account of the discussion, see the YouTube recording starting at minute 8:58:09 here:

https://www.youtube.com/live/6cWA_jXmZxl?si=-PZc-00UsNMw24IV&t=32286

The Board approved the foregoing reports.

Moved by: C. Brillinger
Seconded by: L. Kostakis

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-22.0. Budget Variance Reports

P2026-0723-22.1. 2026 Operating Budget Variance for the Toronto Police Service, Period Ending April 30, 2026

The Board was in receipt of a report dated July 7, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board):

1. Approve the following 2026 in-year budget adjustments:
 - an increase of \$5.4M to both gross expenditures and revenue for a net impact of \$0.0, to recognize in-year Provincial grant funding for grant-related expenditures;
 - an increase of \$1.5M to both gross expenditures and revenue for a net impact of \$0.0, to recognize in-year cost recovery increases for expenditures; and
 - the unchanged net budget of \$1,432.9M and a revised gross budget of \$1,711.2M
2. Forward a copy of this report to the City of Toronto (City) Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for information and inclusion in the variance reporting to the City's Budget Committee.

P2026-0723-22.2. Capital Budget Variance Report for the Toronto Police Service, Period Ending April 30, 2026

The Board was in receipt of a report dated June 5, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board):

1. Approve a 2026 in-year transfer of \$2.0 Million (M) from the Long-Term Facility Plan – 54 Division (D) project to support the new Glock modernization project, with cashflows to be returned to 54D in 2027;
2. Approve a 2026 in-year transfer of \$1.2M to the State of Good Repair (S.O.G.R.) project as follows:
 - Transfer \$1.1M from the Forensic Identification Services (F.I.S.) building Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (H.V.A.C.) lifecycle project;
 - Transfer \$60 Thousand (K) from Uninterrupted Power Supply (U.P.S.) project;
 - Transfer \$15K from the FIFA Requirement – Motorcycles project; and

3. Forward a copy of this report to the City of Toronto's (City) Financial Officer and Treasurer for inclusion in the City's overall capital variance report to the City's Budget Committee.

P2026-0723-22.3. 2026 Operating Budget Variance for the Toronto Police Service Parking Enforcement Unit, Period Ending April 30, 2026

The Board was in receipt of a report dated June 15, 2026 from Myron Demkiw, Chief of Police.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) forward a copy of this report to the City of Toronto (City) Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for information and inclusion in the variance reporting to the City's Budget Committee.

P2026-0723-22.4. 2026 Operating Budget Variance Report for the Toronto Police Service Board, Period Ending April 30, 2026

The Board was in receipt of a report dated June 1, 2026 from Louis Tsilivis, Executive Director.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report and forward a copy to the City of Toronto (City) Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for information and inclusion in the variance reporting to the City's Budget Committee.

Deputation: Nicole Corrado (written submission only)

The Board received the deputation and approved the foregoing reports.

Moved by: C. Brillinger
Seconded by: L. Kostakis

This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026

P2026-0723-23.0. Request to Seek Legislative Change

The Board was in receipt of a report dated June 1, 2026 from Louis Tsilivis, Executive Director.

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) authorize the Chief of Police (Chief) to advocate to the Government of Ontario, and engage relevant ministries and agencies, for legislative changes regarding:

- a) Expanding the circumstances in which police officers may be suspended without pay;
- b) Removing the requirement that a full disciplinary hearing be held to terminate a police officer who is serving a term of incarceration;
- c) Permitting extensions of probationary periods where an officer has been suspended or put on administrative duties;
- d) Permitting police services, in appropriate circumstances, to retain responsibility for certain investigations, while maintaining impartiality, appropriate safeguards to ensure independent and objective investigations, and existing oversight mechanisms; and
- e) Establishing legislation, modelled on California's "Joanna's Law", requiring enhanced investigative requirements where indicators suggest a death may be connected to intimate partner violence.

Deputation: Derek Moran (in person) (written submission included)

Board Members asked questions and discussed this matter. For a detailed account of the discussion, see the YouTube recording starting at minute 9:09:40 here: https://www.youtube.com/live/6cWA_jXmZxl?si=3fXf2dTUtsIPbUKu&t=32977

Chair Carroll moved the following Motion which was seconded by Member Kostakis:

THAT:

The Board direct the Executive Director, in consultation with the Chief of Police, to review the Process for Seeking Legislative Change (the Policy), including with respect to the recommendations of the Independent Civilian Review into Matters Relating to the G20 Summit (the Morden Report) and the *Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019*, and report back with any recommendations for updating or amending the Policy.

The Board received the deputation and approved the Motion and the foregoing report.

Moved by: S. Carroll
Seconded by: L. Kostakis

**This is an Extract from the Minutes of the Public Meeting of the Toronto
Police Service Board that was held on July 23, 2026**

P2026-0723-24.0. Confidential

The Board adjourned the public portion of the meeting and reconvened the *in camera* meeting for consideration of confidential matters pursuant to Section 44(2) of the *Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019* (C.S.P.A) until 2PM, when it returned to continue the public portion of the meeting.

The following Members attended the in-camera meeting:

Shelley Carroll, Chair and Councillor
Chris Brillinger, Vice-Chair
Ann Morgan, Member
Lisa Kostakis, Member
Nick Migliore, Member
Amber Morley, Member and Deputy Mayor
Lily Cheng, Member and City Councillor

Next Board Meeting

Regular Public Meeting

Date: September 24, 2026

Location: 40 College Street, Auditorium

Minutes Approved by:

-original signed-

Councillor Shelley Carroll
Chair

Members of the Toronto Police Service Board

Shelley Carroll, Chair
Amber Morley, Deputy Mayor & Member
Lily Cheng, Member & Councillor
Nick Migliore, Member

Chris Brillinger, Vice-Chair
Lisa Kostakis, Member
Ann Morgan, Member



1. Public Minutes of the Central Joint Health and Safety Committee meetings held on October 29, 2025; April 16, 2026 and June 8, 2026



Central Joint Health and Safety Committee

PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES

**Wednesday, October 29, 2025, at 2:00PM
Toronto Police HQ – 7th Floor Board room**

Meeting No. 93

Chair for this Meeting:

Councillor Shelley Carroll, Chair, Toronto Police Service Board and Co-Chair, Central Joint Health, and Safety Committee

Members Present:

Councillor Shelley Carroll, Chair, Toronto Police Service Board and Co-Chair, Central Joint Health, and Safety Committee

Clayton Campbell, President, Toronto Police Association and Co-Chair, Central Joint Health, and Safety Committee

Brian Callanan, Vice President, Toronto Police Association (TPA), and Executive Member, Central Joint Health, and Safety Committee

Deputy Chief Rob Johnson, Toronto Police Service (TPS), Command Representative
|

Also Present:

Dave McCaig, Interim CAO, Toronto Police Service

Ivy Nanayakkara, Manager, TPS, Wellness Unit

Rob Duncan, Occupational Safety Lead, TPS Wellness Unit

Pete Grande, Director, TPA

Tom Gleason, Chief of Staff to Councillor Shelley Carroll

Sheri Chapman, Executive Assistant, TPSB

Opening of the Meeting:

1. Co-Chair Carroll welcomed the group to the meeting and called the meeting to order 2:05PM.

2. The Committee approved the public minutes from the meeting that was held on June 4, 2025.

Moved by: Brian Callanan
Seconded by: Clayton Campbell

Co-Chair Carroll introduced a Motion to move in camera.

Moved by: Councillor Shelley Carroll
Seconded by: Deputy Chief Rob Johnson

Next Meeting Date: March 23, 2026
Location: Forensic Identification Services

Members of the Central Joint Health and Safety Committee:

Shelley Carroll, Co-Chair Toronto Police Services Board	Clayton Campbell, Co-Chair Toronto Police Association
Robert Johnson, Command Representative Toronto Police Service	Brian Callanan, Executive Member Toronto Police Association



Central Joint Health and Safety Committee

PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES Thursday, April 16, 2026, at 1:00PM Toronto Police Association

Meeting No. 94

Chair for this Meeting:

Clayton Campbell, President, Toronto Police Association, and Co-Chair, Central Joint Health and Safety Committee

Members Present:

Councillor Shelley Carroll, Chair, Toronto Police Service Board and Co-Chair, Central Joint Health, and Safety Committee

Clayton Campbell, President, Toronto Police Association and Co-Chair, Central Joint Health, and Safety Committee

Brian Callanan, Vice President, Toronto Police Association (TPA), and Executive Member, Central Joint Health, and Safety Committee

Deputy Chief Rob Johnson, Toronto Police Service (TPS), Command Representative

Also Present:

Dave McCaig, Interim CAO, Toronto Police Service

Ivy Nanayakkara, Acting Director, People and Culture

Rob Duncan, Occupational Safety Lead, TPS Wellness Unit

Pete Grande, Director, TPA

Mike Harris, Director, TPA

Tom Gleason, Chief of Staff to Councillor Shelley Carroll

Sheri Chapman, Executive Assistant, TPSB

Claire Wagar, Executive Assistant, TPA

Meaghan Gray, Chief Communications Officer, TPA

Opening of the Meeting:

1. Co-Chair Campbell welcomed the group to the meeting and called the meeting to order at 1:02pm.

2. The Committee approved the public minutes from the meeting that was held on October 29, 2025.

Moved by: Brian Callanan

Seconded by: Shelley Carroll

Annual Reviews:

3. Terms of Reference for the Central Joint Health & Safety Committee – 2026 Review

Review by: All Members

The Committee reviewed the existing terms of reference, approved by the Ministry in 2015. All parties accepted them, and no changes were proposed.

Moved by: Robert Johnson

Seconded by: Clayton Campbell

4. Review of the Toronto Police Service Board (TPSB) Occupational Health & Safety Policy

Review by: All Members

The Committee reviewed the TPSB Occupational Health & Safety Policy. All parties endorsed the Board's Policy, and no changes were proposed.

Moved by: Brian Callanan

Seconded by: Shelley Carroll

Co-Chair Campbell introduced a Motion to move *in camera*.

Moved by: Shelley Carroll

Seconded by: Robert Johnson

Next Meeting Date: Monday, June 8 at 11:00AM at the Toronto Police Service - HQ

Members of the Central Joint Health and Safety Committee:

Shelley Carroll, Co-Chair Toronto Police Service Board	Clayton Campbell, Co-Chair Toronto Police Association
Robert Johnson, Command Representative Toronto Police Service	Brian Callanan, Executive Member Toronto Police Association



Central Joint Health and Safety Committee

PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES **Monday, June 8, 2026, at 11:00AM** **Webex**

Meeting No. 95

Chair for this Meeting:

Councillor Shelley Carroll, Chair, Toronto Police Service Board, and Co-Chair, Central Joint Health and Safety Committee

Members Present:

Councillor Shelley Carroll, Chair, Toronto Police Service Board and Co-Chair, Central Joint Health, and Safety Committee

Clayton Campbell, President, Toronto Police Association and Co-Chair, Central Joint Health, and Safety Committee

Brian Callanan, Vice President, Toronto Police Association (TPA), and Executive Member, Central Joint Health, and Safety Committee

Deputy Chief Robert Johnson, Toronto Police Service (TPS), Command Representative

Also Present:

Maria Duran-Schneider, CAO, Toronto Police Service

Ivy Nanayakkara, Acting Director, People and Culture

Rob Duncan, Occupational Safety Lead, TPS Wellness Unit

Pete Grande, Director, TPA

Mike Harris, Director, TPA

Meaghan Gray, Chief Communications Officer, TPA

Tom Gleason, Chief of Staff to Councillor Shelley Carroll

Sheri Chapman, Executive Assistant, TPSB

Claire Wagar, Executive Assistant, TPA (*recording secretary*)

Opening of the Meeting:

1. Co-Chair Carroll welcomed the group to the meeting and called the meeting to order at 11:03am.

2. The Committee approved the public minutes from the meeting that was held on April 16, 2026.

Moved by: Robert Johnson
Seconded by: Clayton Campbell

Co-Chair Carroll introduced a Motion to move *in camera*.

Moved by: Clayton Campbell
Seconded by: Brian Callanan

Next Meeting Date: Monday, August 17 at 11:00AM at the Toronto Police Association

Members of the Central Joint Health and Safety Committee:

Shelley Carroll, Co-Chair Toronto Police Service Board	Clayton Campbell, Co-Chair Toronto Police Association
Robert Johnson, Command Representative Toronto Police Service	Brian Callanan, Executive Member Toronto Police Association



2. Semi-Annual Report: Toronto Police Service Board Special Fund Unaudited Statement: January to June 2026



PUBLIC REPORT

September 8, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Louis Tsilivis
Executive Director

Subject: Semi-Annual Report: Toronto Police Service Board Special Fund Unaudited Statement: January to June 2026

Purpose: ☒ Information Purposes Only ☐ Seeking Decision

Summary:

The purpose of this report is to provide the Toronto Police Service Board (the Board) with the unaudited Special Fund Statement for the period from January 1 to June 30, 2026.

The Toronto Police Services Board (TPSB) Special Fund is a fund established under provincial legislation that gives the Board authority to use proceeds from property that comes lawfully into the possession of the Toronto Police Service and is subsequently sold. Those proceeds are placed into the Special Fund, and the Board may use them for purposes it considers to be in the public interest.

Discussion:

Background

In accordance with the Board's Special Fund Policy, expenditures from the Special Fund are reported to the Board on a semi-annual basis. This report provides the unaudited Statement of Receipts and Disbursements for the Board's Special Fund for the period of January 01 to June 30, 2026.

Special Fund Activities

As of June 30, 2026, the balance of the Special Fund was \$969,905. During the first half of 2026, the Special Fund recorded receipts of \$641,219 and net disbursements of \$295,623, resulting in a net increase of \$345,596 from December 31, 2025, balance of \$624,309.

A notable increase in revenue in the unclaimed money category is attributable to a focused effort to reconcile and record outstanding proceeds held by the Property and Evidence Management Unit.

Auction proceeds have been estimated for the months of April, May, and June 2026, as the actual deposits have not yet been made.

Please see *Appendix A* for a detailed breakdown of all activities within the Special Fund.

Disbursements

For the first half of 2026, the Board approved and disbursed the following sponsorships:

Sponsorship	Amount
Asian Heritage Month	\$ 2,800
Auxiliary Graduation Ceremonies	\$ 3,200
Black History Month	\$ 3,000
Board & Chief's Pride Reception	\$ 2,600
Canadian Jewish Heritage Month and Hanukkah Celebrations	\$ 2,800
Caribbean Carnival Kick-off Events	\$ 5,000
Community Consultative Groups	\$ 29,000
Community-Police Consultative Conference	\$ 6,000
International Day of Pink	\$ 400
International Francophonie Day	\$ 2,000
Islamic Heritage Month	\$ 2,800
2.S.L.G.B.T.Q.I.+ Youth Justice Bursary Award	\$ 2,000
National Indigenous Peoples Day	\$ 4,600
National Victims of Crime Awareness / Crime Prevention Week	\$ 1,000
Police Officer Excellence Awards	\$ 15,000
Pride Month Celebrations	\$ 2,300
ProAction Cops & Kids	\$ 5,000
Torch Run / Special Olympics	\$ 2,500
Toronto Crime Stoppers - Annual Chief of Police Dinner	\$ 6,500
United Way Campaign	\$ 5,000
Victim Services Toronto	\$ 25,000
Youth in Policing Initiative (Y.I.P.I.) - Luncheons & Awards	\$ 6,000
Y.I.P.I.- 20 th Anniversary Gala	\$ 25,000

In addition, the Board approved and disbursed the following:

Disbursed Funds	Amount
Canadian Association of Police Governance (C.A.P.G.)	\$ 5,000
Ontario Association of Police Service Board (O.A.P.S.B.)	\$ 5,000
Recognition of Community Members	\$ 420
Recognition of Service Members	\$ 122,289
Toronto Police Amateur Athletic Association	\$ 1,800

Disbursed Funds	Amount
Donations / Flowers in Memoriam	\$ 254

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

This report is in compliance with the Board's Special Fund Policy (Minute No: P2022-0502-8.0).

Recommendation(s):

This report recommends that the Board receive the Toronto Police Service Board's Special Fund un-audited statement for the period of January 01 to June 30, 2026.

Financial Implications:

There are no financial implications arising from the recommendation contained in this report.

Conclusion:

It is, therefore, recommended that the Board receive the report on the Toronto Police Service Board's Special Fund unaudited statement for the period of January 1 to 30 June 2026.

Respectfully submitted,

Louis Tsilivis
Executive Director

Attachment:

Appendix A: Toronto Police Service Board Special Fund - 2026 First-Half Year Results and Initial Projections

Appendix A: Toronto Police Service Board Special Fund - 2026 First-Half Year Results and Initial Projections

Particulars	Initial 2026 Projection	January 1 to June 30, 2026	July 1 to December 31, 2026	2026 Year-to-Date	2025 Full Year
Balance Forward	624,309	624,309		624,309	539,258
Revenue					
Proceeds from Auctions	275,000	167,216		167,216	278,962
Less Overhead Cost	(135,000)	(81,813)		(81,813)	(127,901)
Unclaimed Money	618,000	550,241		550,241	283,246
Less Return of Unclaimed Money	(37,080)	(4,440)		(4,440)	(16,364)
Interest	20,000	10,015		10,015	19,163
Others	100	-		-	77
Total Revenue	741,020	641,219		641,219	437,182
Balance Forward Before Expenses	1,365,329	1,265,528		1,265,528	976,441
Disbursements					
Police Community Sponsorships - Toronto Police Service					
Community Partnerships and Engagement Unit Events	48,000	48,000		48,000	48,000
Community Consultative Groups	29,000	29,000		29,000	29,000
Canada Beyond the Blue Gala	5,000	-		-	5,000
Police Officer Excellence Awards	15,000	15,000		15,000	15,000
Volunteer Appreciation Events	7,000			-	5,955
Wellness Day	4,000	-		-	4,000
Youth in Policing Initiative (Y.I.P.I.)	31,000	31,000		31,000	6,000
Police Community Sponsorships - Community					
Victim Services Toronto	25,000	25,000		25,000	25,000
Victim Services Toronto - Chief of Police Fundraising Gala	7,000	-		-	10,000
Association of Black Law Enforcers (ABLE)	8,500	-		-	25,000
Toronto Crime Stoppers - Annual Chief of Police Dinner	6,500	6,500		6,500	6,500
Toronto Police Cricket Club	2,500	-		-	-
ProAction Cops & Kids	5,000	5,000		5,000	-
Funds Returned on Sponsorships					
Auxiliary Appreciation Event	-			-	(1,136)
Black History Month	-			-	(90)
Board & Chief's Pride Reception	-			-	(126)
Canadian Jewish Heritage Month and Hanukkah Celebrations	-			-	(279)
Community Consultative Groups	-			-	(7,747)
Community Police Consultative Conference	-			-	(49)
Day of Pink	-			-	(357)
Islamic Heritage Month	-			-	(2,800)
International Francophonie Day	-			-	(428)
National Victims Crime Awareness Month	-			-	(800)
National Indigenous Peoples Day	-			-	(117)
Pride Month Celebrations	-			-	(230)
Toronto Caribbean Carnival	-			-	(180)
United Way	-			-	(204)

Appendix A: Toronto Police Service Board Special Fund - 2026 First-Half Year Results and Initial Projections (cont'd)

Particulars	Initial 2026 Projection	January 1 to June 30, 2026	July 1 to December 31, 2026	2026 Year-to-Date	2025 Full Year
Toronto Police Amateur Athletic Association - Assistance	32,000	1,800		1,800	27,000
Recognition of Service Members					
Awards	125,000	121,041		121,041	89,826
Catering	37,000	1,248		1,248	29,876
Recognition of Community Members					
Awards	8,000	-		-	5,943
Catering	1,000	420		420	-
Recognition of Board Members and Staff					
Awards	1,000	-		-	-
Catering	2,000	-		-	-
					-
Conferences					
Canadian Association of Police Governance (C.A.P.G)	5,000	5,000		5,000	5,000
Ontario Association of Police Service Boards (O.A.P.S.B.)	5,000	5,000		5,000	5,000
					-
Toronto Police Service Board (T.P.S.B.) and Toronto Police Association (T.P.A.) Retirement Dinner	10,000	-		-	10,000
					-
Donations/Flowers in Memoriam	500	254		254	387
Report on Specified Auditing Procedures	13,483	-		-	13,000
Other Expenses					
Funeral Cost	86,000	-		-	-
Bank Service Charges	2,500	1,360		1,360	1,188
Total Disbursements	521,983	295,623	-	295,623	352,131
Special Fund Balance	843,346	969,905	-	969,905	624,309



3. Special Fund Requests



3.1. Request for Special Funds – Association of Black Law Enforcers' 34th Annual Scholarship and Awards Gala



PUBLIC REPORT

September 8, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Louis Tsilivis
Executive Director

Subject: Request for Special Funds – Association of Black Law Enforcers' 34th Annual Scholarship and Awards Gala

Purpose: ☐ Information Purposes Only ☒ Seeking Decision

Recommendations:

This report recommends that:

- 1) as an exception to the Special Fund Policy, the Toronto Police Service Board (the Board) approve an expenditure in an amount not to exceed \$5,000 to sponsor the Association of Black Law Enforcers (A.B.L.E.) 34th Annual Scholarship Awards Gala;
- 2) as an exception to the Special Fund Policy, the Board approve an expenditure in an amount not to exceed \$3,500 toward one scholarship through the Association of Black Law Enforcers (A.B.L.E.) Scholarship Program; and,
- 3) the Board approve the use of its crest in the event program and for recognition at the event.

Financial Implications:

If the Board approves recommendations number one and two in this report, the Board's Special Fund will be reduced in the amount of \$8,500.

As of September 4, 2026, the Board's Special Fund has an approximate balance of \$854,546. The proposed contribution can, therefore, be accommodated within the existing Special Fund allocation.

Summary:

The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval to sponsor A.B.L.E.'s 34th Annual Scholarship and Awards Gala through the Special Fund and to authorize A.B.L.E. to use the Board's crest in promotional materials associated with the event.

Discussion:

Background

A.B.L.E. is a not-for-profit organization established in 1992 to address the needs and concerns of Black and other racialized communities and law enforcement professionals. Its mission is to strengthen relationships between law enforcement and the community through outreach, youth initiatives, cultural pride, diversity, and the promotion of racial harmony.

A.B.L.E. established its Scholarship Program to commemorate the contributions of Rose Fortune (1774–1864) and Peter C. Butler III (1859–1943), recognized as among the first Black police officers in Canada. The program supports Black and other racialized students pursuing post-secondary education and careers in the justice sector, including police foundations, community and justice services, criminology/criminal justice, law, social work, and law enforcement.

Through its scholarships, A.B.L.E. aims to support youth in achieving their educational and career goals while contributing to a justice sector that reflects the diversity of Canadian communities.

A.B.L.E.'s premier event, the Scholarship Awards Gala, brings together more than 600 guests from law enforcement and the broader community, including police chiefs, front-line officers, community advocates, and international law enforcement. The event will feature the presentation of 10 scholarships valued at \$3,500 each, supporting students pursuing post-secondary education in areas including law enforcement, corrections, criminology, and law.

Conclusion:

This report recommends that the Board purchase the Gold Sponsorship package, which includes one table of 10 seats, logo recognition during the event, and recognition in the event program.

In addition, it is recommended that the Board fund one scholarship. Given the Board's important relationship with A.B.L.E. and its ongoing commitment to community outreach, the following recommendations are made:

As an exception to the Special Fund Policy, the Board approve an expenditure in an amount not to exceed \$5,000 to sponsor the Association of Black Law Enforcers' 34th Annual Scholarship Awards Gala;

1. As an exception to the Special Fund Policy, the Board approve an expenditure in an amount not to exceed \$3,500 toward one scholarship through the Association of Black Law Enforcers (A.B.L.E.) Scholarship Program; and
2. The Board approve the use of its crest in the event program and for recognition at the event.

Respectfully submitted,

Louis Tsilivis
Executive Director

Contact

Sheri Chapman
Executive Assistant to Chair
Toronto Police Service Board
Email: sheri.chapman@tpsb.ca



3.2. Request for Special Funds – 8th Annual Healing the Voice Within Gala



PUBLIC REPORT

September 4, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Louis Tsilivis
Executive Director

Subject: Request for Special Funds – 8th Annual Healing the Voice Within Gala

Purpose: ☐ Information Purposes Only ☒ Seeking Decision

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

1. as an exception to the Toronto Police Service Board's Special Fund Policy, the Toronto Police Service Board (the Board) approve a sponsorship contribution of \$5,000 to The Gatehouse for its 8th Annual Healing the Voice Within Gala; and,
2. the Board authorize The Gatehouse to use the Board's crest in promotional materials associated with the 2026 Healing the Voice Within Gala.

Financial Implications:

If the Board approves recommendations number one, the Board's Special Fund will be reduced by \$5,000.

As of September 4, 2026, the Board's Special Fund has an approximate balance of \$854,546. The proposed contribution can, therefore, be accommodated within the existing Special Fund allocation.

Summary:

The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's approval of a \$5,000 sponsorship contribution to The Gatehouse's 8th Annual Healing the Voice Within Gala, as an exception to the Special Fund Policy, and authorization for The Gatehouse to use the Board crest in promotional materials associated with the event.

Discussion:

This report seeks the Board's approval of a \$5,000 sponsorship contribution to The Gatehouse's 8th Annual Healing the Voice Within Gala, as an exception to the Special Fund Policy, and authorization for The Gatehouse to use the Board crest in promotional materials associated with the event. The sponsorship would support The Gatehouse's continued work with survivors and families affected by childhood sexual abuse.

Background

For more than 28 years, The Gatehouse has provided specialized support, advocacy, education, and healing programs to individuals and families affected by childhood sexual abuse. Its community-based facility provides a safe and supportive environment for children and youth disclosing abuse to police and child welfare personnel, as well as ongoing support for survivors and families.

The Gatehouse also supports police and child welfare professionals and has been recognized by police services as a best-practice site for investigations involving childhood sexual abuse. To date, it has supported more than 20,000 individuals and works with police and community partners across Greater Toronto and surrounding regions. The organization relies significantly on fundraising and community support to sustain its work.

The Gala

The Healing the Voice Within Gala is The Gatehouse's signature fundraising and awareness event. Now in its eighth year, the 2026 Gala is expected to bring together more than 300 community leaders, survivors, health-care professionals, educators, law enforcement representatives, government officials, corporate partners, and advocates.

The Gala will take place on Thursday, October 8, 2026, at Liberty Grand in Toronto. The Gatehouse has requested a \$5,000 sponsorship contribution from the Board.

The proposed sponsorship supports an organization whose work aligns with the Board's interest in victim support, public safety, trauma-informed services, and strong police-community partnerships. As the contribution falls outside the delegated authority categories under the Special Fund Policy, Board approval is required as an exception to the Policy.

Conclusion:

Accordingly, it is recommended that the Board approve a \$5,000 sponsorship contribution as an exception to the Special Fund Policy and authorize The Gatehouse to use the Board crest for promotional purposes associated with the 2026 Gala.

Respectfully submitted,

Louis Tsilivis
Executive Director

Contact

Sheri Chapman
Executive Assistant to the Chair
Toronto Police Service Board
Email: sheri.chapman@tpsb.ca



3.3. Inaugural Missing Persons and Unidentified Remains Conference - September 2026



PUBLIC REPORT

September 1, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: Inaugural Missing Persons and Unidentified Remains
Conference - September 2026

Purpose: ☐ Information Purposes Only ☒ Seeking Decision

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) approve an expenditure in the amount of \$25,000 from the Board's Special Fund to support the 2026 Inaugural International Missing Persons and Unidentified Remains Conference, being hosted by the Toronto Police Service (Service) in partnership with the Ontario Association of Chiefs of Police (OACP).

Financial Implications:

The Board's Special Fund will be reduced by \$25,000

Funding Request and Board Sponsorship:

This conference will mark the first international conference focused on missing persons and unidentified human remains hosted in Toronto, with approximately 200 to 300 participants expected from the policing, academic and community sectors in Canada and internationally. Funding in the amount of \$25,000 is being requested from the Board's Special Fund to support the delivery of this inaugural international conference

The requested funds will support costs associated with the delivery of the conference including, venue rental costs, audio-visual requirements, programming, event logistics and transportation costs for speakers.

In recognition of this contribution, the Board will receive presenting sponsorship recognition for the conference and will be provided with the opportunity for up to five Board members and/or staff to attend.

This funding request is specific to the inaugural 2026 conference. There is no expectation that the Board will provide ongoing funding from its Special Fund should the conference be held again in the future. Any future funding requirements would be considered separately through the appropriate approval process.

Summary

The Honourable Gloria J. Epstein's Missing and Missed Report emphasized the importance of training, education, professional development and organizational culture in enhancing missing person investigations and improving outcomes for vulnerable and marginalized communities.

The proposed conference directly supports these objectives by creating an international forum for investigators, police leaders, academics, community partners and subject matter experts to exchange knowledge, review emerging trends, share leading practices and strengthen investigative competencies related to missing persons and unidentified human remains.

Approximately 200 to 300 attendees are expected to participate in the conference, including policing representatives, investigators, police leaders, and subject matter experts from Ontario, across Canada and internationally, providing an opportunity for participants to exchange investigative practices, emerging technologies, case-management approaches and lessons learned from jurisdictions around the world.

Missing person investigations and the identification of unidentified human remains can involve complex jurisdictional considerations and increasingly benefit from effective collaboration and information sharing between police services, government agencies and other partners across municipal, provincial, national and international borders.

Bringing representatives from these jurisdictions together in Toronto will strengthen professional networks, develop new partnerships and facilitate relationships that can support future operational cooperation and information sharing in complex investigations.

The Service is recognized as a leader in missing person investigations and the identification of unidentified human remains and has contributed to the development and sharing of leading practices within the policing community. Hosting this inaugural

international conference, in partnership with the OACP, will provide the Service with an opportunity to share the significant work undertaken following the Missing and Missed Report, including lessons learned through the implementation of its recommendations.

The conference will also position Toronto as a leader in international dialogue, innovation and professional development relating to missing persons and unidentified human remains investigations. It will provide an important opportunity for the Service and its policing partners to both share Canadian experiences and learn directly from international police agencies and subject matter experts facing similar investigative challenges.

The conference will enhance public safety by:

- improving investigative knowledge and competencies relating to missing persons and unidentified human remains;
- strengthening partnerships among policing agencies and community stakeholders across Ontario, Canada and internationally;
- supporting the exchange of leading practices, emerging technologies and innovative investigative approaches;
- promoting greater information sharing and collaboration in complex and cross-jurisdictional investigations;
- strengthening relationships that can assist police agencies in future investigations that extend beyond provincial or national borders; and
- supporting the continued implementation and advancement of recommendations arising from the Missing and Missed review.

Conclusion:

The inaugural International Missing Persons & Unidentified Remains Conference represents an important opportunity for the Service, in partnership with the OACP, to advance the objectives arising from the Missing and Missed Report while demonstrating leadership in an area of significant public-safety importance.

By bringing together policing agencies, police leaders, investigators and subject matter experts from across Canada and internationally, the conference will strengthen professional relationships, enhance investigative knowledge, encourage the exchange of leading practices and support greater collaboration in missing person and unidentified human remains investigations.

The partnership between the Service and the OACP further demonstrates the policing sector's collective commitment to strengthening investigative capacity and collaboration in this important area.

Hosting this conference in Toronto will position the Service, the OACP and Ontario as leaders in advancing national and international collaboration relating to missing persons and unidentified human remains and will provide an important platform to both share our experience and learn from policing partners from jurisdictions around the world.

It is therefore recommended that the Board approve an expenditure of \$25,000 from the Board's Special Fund in support of the 2026 Inaugural International Missing Persons & Unidentified Remains Conference.

Mr. Brian Moniz, Manager of Strategic Stakeholder Engagement, will be in attendance to respond to any questions that the Board may have regarding this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police



4. Pre-Qualification of Vendors for Information Technology Professional Services



PUBLIC REPORT

July 17, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: Pre-Qualification of Vendors for Information Technology Professional Services

Purpose: ☐ Information Purposes Only ☒ Seeking Decision

Recommendations:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board):

- 1) Approve the pre-qualification of the thirty-seven (37) vendors listed below for information technology (I.T.) professional services for a period of three (3) years commencing on October 1, 2026, and ending on September 30, 2029.

Pre-qualified Vendor List

1. 22nd Century Technologies Canada, Incorporated	20. Kovasys, Incorporated
2. 2iSolutions, Incorporated	21. Maarut, Incorporated
3. Affinity Staffing, Incorporated	22. Maplesoft Consulting, Incorporated
4. Synergie Holding Canada, Limited	23. Mindwire Systems, Limited
5. Akkodis Canada, Incorporated	24. NewFound Recruiting Corporation
6. Altis Recruitment & Technology, Incorporated	25. OnX Enterprise Solutions, Limited
7. Bidowali, Incorporated	26. Outwitly, Incorporated
8. Buchanan Technologies, Limited	27. Oxaro, Incorporated
9. BuzzClan Canada, Incorporated	28. Quarry Consulting, Incorporated
10. Calian Limited	29. Radiant Systems Solutions, Incorporated
11. CorGTA, Incorporated	30. Raise, Limited
12. Dasro Consulting, Incorporated	31. Randstad Interim, Incorporated
13. Deetken Enterprises, Incorporated	32. Russell Tobin and Associates, Limited
14. DLS Technology Corporation	33. S.i. Systems ULC
15. Finney Taylor Consulting Group, Limited	34. Softchoice Canada Corporation
16. GEF Consulting, Incorporated	35. Swim International Recruiting, Incorporated
17. HGS Canada, Incorporated	36. TEKsystems Canada Corporation
18. Intellex Systems, Incorporated	37. Tundra Technical Solutions, Incorporated
19. ITIQ Tech Recruiters, Incorporated	

- 2) Authorize the Chair to execute all required agreements and related documents on behalf of the Board, subject to approval by the City of Toronto (City) Solicitor as to form.

Financial Implications:

I.T. professional services required by the Toronto Police Service (Service) are funded from the Service's approved operating budget or approved capital projects, subject to the availability of funds.

There are no immediate financial implications associated with the pre-qualification of these vendors; however, over the course of the three-year-term, it is anticipated that subsequent second-stage procurements may result in an estimated expenditure of up to \$13.5 million (M) (excluding taxes) based on historical spend.

Summary:

The purpose of this report is to request the Board's approval to establish a list of pre-qualified vendors for I.T. professional services.

The Board's approval of the recommended pre-qualified vendor list will enable the Service to procure qualified resources in a timely, competitive, and transparent manner, supporting the delivery of technology initiatives and operational requirements. As a result of the Request for Pre-Qualification (R.F.P.Q.) process, 37 proponents are being recommended for pre-qualification. The 37 proponents will be eligible to respond to the Request for Services (R.F.S.) for a period of 3 years commencing on October 1, 2026, and ending on September 30, 2029.

Discussion:

Background

On May 18, 2023, the Board approved the pre-qualification of vendors to provide the Service with various I.T. professional services from June 1, 2023, to May 31, 2025, with the option to extend for two additional one-year extensions at the discretion of the Chief. An optional extension year was exercised, and the prequalified list was extended to May 31, 2026. The final optional extension was not exercised to allow the Service to facilitate a new R.F.P.Q. process (Min. No. P2023-0518-6.0 refers).

Pre-qualifying vendors facilitates the process for contracting I.T. professional services required for projects and operational needs wherein the approved pre-qualified vendors can participate in second stage competitive procurement processes as the need arises for specific I.T. professional services.

Procurement Process Summary

On March 6, 2026, the Service's Procurement Services Unit issued R.F.P.Q. #1000552-26 to establish a list of pre-qualified vendors for the provision of I.T. professional services. The R.F.P.Q. outlined 16 pre-defined I.T. professional roles as noted below. Proponents were required to demonstrate their ability to provide qualified candidates for a minimum of eight of the 16 pre-defined roles:

#	Pre-Defined IT Professional Roles
1	Project Manager
2	Business Analyst
3	Organizational Change Manager
4	UX/UI Designer
5	Service Designer
6	Software Developer
7	DevOps Engineer
8	Robotic Process Automation (RPA) Specialist
9	Artificial Intelligence/Machine Learning Specialist
10	Data Analyst
11	Data Architect
12	Data Governance and Compliance Specialist
13	Information Privacy Specialist
14	Solution Architect
15	Domain Architect
16	Risk Management Specialist

All vendors who pre-qualify under the R.F.P.Q. will also be eligible to respond to R.F.S. to provide other types of I.T. professional services in addition to the 16 pre-defined roles (refer to Appendix A – Pre-qualified list of Vendors and Professional Services).

The R.F.P.Q. was posted on MERX on March 6, 2026, and closed on April 13, 2026. 158 vendors downloaded all or part of the R.F.P.Q. documents, and 73 proposals were received upon the closing date.

Evaluation Process

The proposals were evaluated in two stages, as summarized below:

Stage One – Mandatory Submission Requirements. All 73 proponents were compliant and advanced to stage two of the evaluation process.

Stage Two - Evaluated the proposals using pre-established rated criteria and considered the following:

- understanding the assignment;

- proponent's profile, qualifications, and relevant experience;
- references; and
- resource qualification and vetting.

Proponents had to achieve a minimum threshold score of 75% in accordance with the evaluation criteria. Only 37 of the 73 proponents met the minimum threshold score.

Second Stage (R.F.S.) Process

A second stage R.F.S. process will be carried out each time the Service has a need for I.T. professional services, to ensure the Service obtains the most qualified candidate(s) at a competitive cost.

Invitational R.F.S.s will be issued on MERX by the Procurement Services Unit to the pre-qualified vendors eligible to bid on the required I.T. services. The R.F.S. will provide the pre-qualified vendors with the following:

- a description of the professional service(s) required;
- a statement of work including, if appropriate, a component for the transfer of skills;
- a list of deliverables; and
- a timetable for the work.

The pre-qualified vendors will be requested to:

- propose an appropriately skilled resource(s) to provide the service(s); and
- bid an hourly rate for the service(s).

The successful candidate and associated vendor will be selected based on the candidate's skills and experience, as evaluated against the requirements set out in the R.F.S., as well as the candidate's hourly rate.

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

The Board's Bylaw No. 163, Purchasing By-law, includes the following applicable articles/clauses:

'11 Pre-Qualification for Selective Solicitations

A R.F.P.Q. may be used to establish a list of pre-qualified vendors for a particular term. The prequalified list of vendors must be approved by the Board. The Purchasing Manager may then administer relevant R.F.Q. and R.F.S. without a requirement for Board approval for subsequent Solicitations and Awards."

Conclusion:

Based on the procurement process and evaluation results summarized in this report, it is recommended that the Board approve the pre-qualification of the 37 vendors identified in this report to provide I.T. professional services for a period of three years commencing on October 1, 2026, and ending on September 30, 2029. Subsequent second-stage procurements may result in an estimated expenditure of up to \$13.5 M (excluding taxes).

Ms. Maria Duran-Schneider, Chief Administrative Officer and Mr. Colin Stairs, Chief Transformation Officer, will be in attendance to answer any questions the Board may have in relation to this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police

Attachments:

Appendix A – Pre-qualified list of Vendors and Professional Services

Appendix A: Pre-qualified List of Vendors and Professional Services

[illegible]



5. Contract Award to Ovations Ontario Food Services Inc. for Boxed Lunch Services for International Federation of Association Football (F.I.F.A) 2026



PUBLIC REPORT

July 7, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: Contract Award to Ovations Ontario Food Services Inc.
for Boxed Lunch Services for International Federation of
Association Football (F.I.F.A) 2026

Purpose: ☒ Information Purposes Only ☐ Seeking Decision

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

Financial Implications:

The estimated cost of awarding the contract to Ovations Ontario Food Services Inc. (O.V.G.) for F.I.F.A. 2026 boxed lunch services for support and security staff is \$575,341.20 excluding taxes. This amount will be funded through the Toronto Police Service's (Service) 2026 operating budget.

The estimated cost is aligned with costs approved by the Board and the City of Toronto's F.I.F.A. Secretariat in previous F.I.F.A. 2026 budget submissions.

Summary:

The purpose of this report is to inform the Board of the contract award to O.V.G. for boxed lunch services for support and security staff during tournament operations for F.I.F.A. 2026 at a total estimated cost of \$575,341.20 excluding taxes. In approving this emergency non-competitive procurement, the Chief exercised his authority under section 16.1 of the Board's By-law No. 163, Purchasing By-Law, as outlined in the Relevant Board Policies and Compliance section below.

Discussion:

Background

The Service was responsible for supporting public safety and security operations during the F.I.F.A. World Cup 2026™, including the deployment of Service and external emergency services personnel within and around Exhibition Place. To support personnel assigned to inner security operations, the Service required a maintenance and rehabilitation facility that would provide rest, meal, and support services during operations.

The original plan was to utilize Toronto Paramedic Services Station 35, located adjacent to Exhibition Place; however, the facility was occupied by Emergency Medical Services operations, limiting available space for police personnel. In addition, the location was outside the F.I.F.A. inner security perimeter, requiring officers to repeatedly pass through security screening checkpoints throughout their shifts.

On May 15, 2026, the Better Living Centre (B.L.C.), located within the inner security perimeter, became available and was determined to be a more suitable and operationally efficient site for rehabilitation operations. The relocation of operations to the B.L.C. also required a food service provider that was authorized to operate within the F.I.F.A. secured footprint and capable of meeting operational requirements. B.L.C. advised that they had only a single vendor (O.V.G.) that was authorized to provide food services within their space.

This procurement was advanced as an emergency non-competitive procurement due to a compressed timeline, operational necessity, and site-specific restrictions that prevented the Service from conducting a competitive procurement.

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

The Board's Bylaw No. 163, Purchasing By-law, includes the following applicable articles/clauses:

'15.1. A non-competitive procurement may be undertaken where both the proposed non-competitive procurement and the particular vendor can be justified in good faith, based on one or more of the following considerations:

...

(i) The Goods or Services are required because of an Emergency which would not reasonably permit the Solicitation of competitive submissions.

16.1 Where, in the opinion of the Chief, an Emergency exists, the Chief may acquire Goods and Services as he or she, acting reasonably, considers necessary to deal with the Emergency, without the necessity for compliance with the requirements of this by-law.

16.2 If the Chief exercises his or her authority under subsection 16.1:

- (a) The Chief may only do so provided the amount is in accordance with section 20.3(a) and section 15; and*
- (b) The Chief shall report such action to the Chair at the earliest possible opportunity and shall report on such action to the Board as soon as practical and not later than the second regular meeting of the Board following such action.'*

As well, section 15.3 of this By-law outlines the contract award authority for non-competitive procurements:

'15.3 Notwithstanding section 20, the Chief may only make an Award, or combination of related Awards, through a non-competitive procurement under this section for a total amount not exceeding \$500,000 and execute a Contract in relation to that Award.'

Conclusion:

This report is submitted to inform the Board of the contract award to O.V.G. for boxed lunch services for support and security staff for F.I.F.A. 2026 tournament operations at a total cost of \$575,341.20 excluding taxes.

Deputy Chief Robert Johnson, Operations Support Command and Chief Administrative Officer, Maria Duran-Schneider, will be in attendance to answer any questions the Board may have in relation to this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police



6. Contract Extension and Increase with Versaterm Public Safety Inc. for Software Support and Maintenance for the eJust Case Management System and Criminal eIntake Interface



PUBLIC REPORT

July 21, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: Contract Extension and Increase with Versaterm Public Safety Inc. for Software Support and Maintenance for the eJust Case Management System and Criminal eIntake Interface

Purpose: ☐ Information Purposes Only ☒ Seeking Decision

Recommendation(s):

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board):

1. Approve an extension to the contract with Versaterm Public Safety Inc. (Versaterm) for software support and maintenance for the eJust Case Management System (C.M.S.) for the period from November 5, 2026, to November 4, 2027, and an increase of \$858,748, excluding taxes, with an option to extend for one additional year at a cost of \$918,861, excluding taxes, for a total estimated increase of \$1,777,609, excluding taxes;
2. Approve an increase to the contract with Versaterm for software support and maintenance for the Criminal eIntake Interface for the period from July 8, 2027, to November 4, 2027, at an estimated cost of \$15,000, excluding taxes, with an option to extend for one additional year at a cost of \$50,000, excluding taxes, for a total estimated increase of \$65,000 excluding taxes;
3. Authorize the Chair to execute all required agreements and related documents on behalf of the Board, subject to approval by the City Solicitor as to form; and

4. Authorize the Chief to exercise the option to extend, subject to business need, budget availability and satisfactory performance by the vendor.

Financial Implications:

Since the Board awarded the original contract for the eJust C.M.S. in June 2012, the vendor has been acquired by Versaterm Public Safety Inc. The Service's total spend to date for this system is approximately \$8.8 million, excluding taxes.

The tables below summarize the software support and maintenance costs for the extension period for the eJust C.M.S. and Criminal eIntake Interface.

Table 1: eJust C.M.S. Costs

Period	Cost
Year 1 (Nov. 05, 2026, to Nov. 04, 2027)	\$858,748
Year 2 (Nov. 05, 2027, to Nov. 04, 2028)	\$918,861
Total excluding taxes	\$1,777,609

Funding for the extension and increase to the contract for software support and maintenance for eJust C.M.S. has been included in the Toronto Police Service's (Service's) 2026 operating budget (Min. No. P2025-1210-10.1 refers). Funding for 2027 and 2028 will be included in the respective years' operating budget requests.

Table 2: Criminal eIntake Interface Costs

Period	Cost
Year 1 (Jul. 08, 2027, to Nov. 04, 2027)	\$15,000
Year 2 (Nov. 05, 2027, to Nov. 04, 2028)	\$50,000
Total excluding taxes	\$65,000

The Criminal eIntake Interface is used by the Service to automate the process of getting court documents endorsed digitally by the Justice of the Peace between the eJust C.M.S. and the Ontario Ministry of the Solicitor General (SOLGEN).

Ongoing support and maintenance of the Criminal eIntake Interface has historically been paid by SOLGEN. The current agreement between SOLGEN and Versaterm expires on July 7, 2027. If a new agreement is not reached by July 7, 2027, the Service will be responsible for the annual support and maintenance costs.

Funding will be included in the respective years' operating budget requests.

Summary:

This report seeks the Board's approval for two related contract changes with Versaterm for software support and maintenance. Specifically, approval is requested for:

- an extension to the contract with Versaterm for software support and maintenance for the eJust C.M.S. from November 5, 2026, to November 4, 2028, and an increase at a total estimated cost of \$1,777,609, excluding taxes; and an increase to the contract with Versaterm for software support and maintenance for the Criminal eIntake Interface from July 8, 2027, to November 4, 2028, at a total estimated cost of \$65,000, excluding taxes.

Discussion:

Background

The eJust C.M.S. provides electronic disclosure capabilities that automate and reduce time spent on manual processes. The integration of eJust C.M.S. into the Service's current Records Management System (R.M.S.) enables the Service to optimize the value generated from the two systems.

The eJust C.M.S. has a direct interface with the R.M.S. to facilitate the automatic preparation and transfer of cases for timely submission to the Crown's Prosecution office. The eJust C.M.S. is used by Service members, such as Courts unit staff, investigators and field officers, to prepare cases for disclosure.

The eJust C.M.S. also supports interoperability among justice partners, such as the Ministry of the Attorney General (M.A.G.), the Public Prosecution Service of Canada and other government agencies that request disclosure packages from the Service.

On June 15, 2012, the Board approved a contract award to eJust for an electronic disclosure system, as the result of a competitive procurement process, for an implementation cost of \$360 thousand (K) and for software support and maintenance at a cost of \$3.15 Million (M), including taxes for a five-year period following implementation in 2013 (Min. No. P149/12 refers).

On October 25, 2018, the Board approved a three-year extension and increase to the contract with eJust for software support and maintenance for the eJust C.M.S. at an estimated cost of \$2.1M with the option to extend for two additional one-year periods to November 4, 2023, for a total estimated cost of \$3.57M, including taxes (Min. No. P201/18 refers).

On October 19, 2023, the Board approved a two-year extension and increase to the contract with eJust for software support and maintenance for eJust C.M.S. at an estimated cost of \$1.4M with the option to extend for one additional year to November 4, 2026, for a total estimated cost of \$2.25M, excluding taxes (Min. No. P2023-1019-5.0 refers).

On January 1, 2024, Versaterm acquired eJust Systems Inc. An assignment/amending agreement was signed between Versaterm and the Board.

The eJust C.M.S. remains a required component of service delivery for the duration of operations on the Versadex R.M.S. software. Versaterm continuously upgrades the eJust C.M.S. to ensure compliance with all federal, provincial and municipal disclosure laws and provide enhancements as required by the Service.

The software support and maintenance provide the Service with upgrade protection for the latest releases of the software. The eJust product and the expert services required to maintain and support the solutions can only be performed by Versaterm. Versaterm does not authorize third party agents or consultants to provide services related to the support and maintenance of its products.

The current contract with Versaterm for the Versadex R.M.S. software support and maintenance expires on November 4, 2028. (Min. No. P2024-0624-7.0 refers). The contract extensions for software support and maintenance for the eJust C.M.S. and Criminal eIntake Interface align the contract terms with the expiry of the contract with Versaterm for the Versadex R.M.S.

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

The Board's By-law No. 163, Purchasing By-law, includes the following applicable article/clause:

- '15.1 A non-competitive procurement may be undertaken where both the proposed non-competitive procurement and the vendor can be justified in good faith, based on one or more of the following considerations.*
- ...*
- (c) The existence of exclusive rights such as a patent, copyright, license or warranty restrictions.'*
- ...*
- (f) Additional purchases from a vendor of Goods or Services that were not included in the original procurement, when a change cannot be made for economic or technical reasons without causing significant inconvenience or substantial duplication of costs to the Service.'*

As well, Section 15.3 of this By-law outlines the contract award authority for non-competitive procurements:

- '15.3 ...The Chief may only make an Award, or combination of related Awards, through a non-competitive procurement under this section for a total amount not exceeding \$500,000 and execute a Contract in relation to that Award.'*

Further, Section 20.5 (a) and (b) of this Bylaw outlines the following limitation with regards to contract increases:

- '20.5 Where any purchase has been authorized under this by-law, those persons authorized to make the Award may, upon being satisfied that increases are required, authorize expenditures that exceed the original approved Contract Value at the time of Award, provided that any additional expenditures cumulatively shall not exceed the lesser of:*
- (a) fifteen percent (15%) of the total cost of the original approved Contract Value at the time of Award; and*

(b) *the authority of that person as set out in section 20.3.'*

Finally, Section 22.3 of this By-law outlines the required approval authority for contract terms longer than five years:

'22.3 A Contract term in excess of five (5) years, regardless of value, must be approved by the Board.'

Conclusion:

For the reasons outlined above, it is recommended that the Board approve:

1. An extension to the contract with Versaterm for software support and maintenance for the eJust C.M.S. from November 5, 2026, to November 4, 2028, and an increase of \$1,777,609, excluding taxes; and
2. An extension to the contract with Versaterm for software support and maintenance for the Criminal e-Intake Interface from July 8, 2027, to November 4, 2028, and an increase of \$65,000, excluding taxes.

Mr. Colin Stairs, Chief Transformation Officer and Ms. Maria Duran-Schneider Chief Administrative Officer, will be in attendance to answer any questions that the Board may have regarding this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police



7. Contract Extension and Increase with Yonge-Steeles Ford Lincoln Sales Limited for the Supply of Ford Automotive Parts



PUBLIC REPORT

August 1, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: **Contract Extension and Increase with Yonge-Steeles Ford Lincoln Sales Limited for the Supply of Ford Automotive Parts**

Purpose: ☐ Information Purposes Only ☒ Seeking Decision

Recommendation(s):

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board)

- 1) approve an extension to the contract with Yonge-Steeles Ford Lincoln Sales Limited (Yonge-Steeles Ford) for the supply of Ford automotive parts, for a two-month period commencing October 1, 2026, to November 30, 2026;
- 2) approve an increase to the contract with Yonge-Steeles Ford for the supply of Ford automotive parts of \$2.103 Million (M) excluding taxes; and
- 3) authorize the Chair to execute all required agreements and related documents on behalf of the Board, subject to approval by the City Solicitor as to form.

Financial Implications:

On September 13, 2022, the Board approved a contract award to Yonge-Steeles Ford for the supply of Ford automotive parts for a one- year term from October 1, 2022, to September 30, 2023, with the option to extend

for an additional three one-year periods, at an estimated cost of \$4.0M excluding taxes, over the four-year period (BM P2022-0913-6.0 refers).

The spend to-date on the contract is \$5.766M, and the estimated spend for the two-month contract extension is approximately \$337K, bringing the total forecasted spend to \$6.103M.

Since the contract commenced, demand for services has exceeded original forecasts, resulting in expenditures higher than anticipated at the time of award.

Funding will be provided from the Toronto Police Service's (Service's) Fleet & Materials Management centralized operating budget for 2026.

Summary:

The purpose of this report is to request the Board's approval for an extension to the current contract with Yonge-Steeles Ford for the supply of Ford automotive parts, for the period commencing October 1, 2026, to November 30, 2026, and an increase to the contract of \$2.103M from \$4.0M to \$6.103M, while a Request for Quotation (R.F.Q.) process is conducted to secure a new contract on a long term basis.

To maintain continuity of service and avoid operational disruption, the Service is seeking approval to extend the current contract for a limited period while a new competitive tender process is completed. The proposed extension will provide sufficient time to complete procurement activities, evaluate submissions, obtain the necessary approvals, and award a new contract.

Discussion:

Background

Previous contracts for Ford automotive parts were awarded to the same vendor, Yonge-Steeles Ford, for the following periods:

- September 1, 2014 – August 31, 2018 approval (Min. No P187, 2014 refers and Min. No P200, 2016 refers) with total contract spend of \$5.031M, excluding taxes.
- September 1, 2018 – September 30, 2022 approval (Min. No P147, 2018 refers) with total contract spend of \$3.887M, excluding taxes.

The current contract for Ford automotive parts was awarded to Yonge-Steeles Ford on October 1, 2022, for an initial one-year term, with three additional one-year option periods. At the time of award, the Service's vehicle fleet consisted of 1,765 vehicles. Since then, the fleet has increased by 249 vehicles, reaching 2,014 in 2026. As Ford vehicles represent approximately 60% of the Service's fleet, access to these parts is essential to maintaining operational readiness and minimizing repair-related disruptions.

The existing contract for Ford Original Equipment Manufacturer (O.E.M.) automotive parts has exceeded its approved value due to increased service demand due to the increase in vehicles, inflationary pressures, and the need to maintain operational support for a growing vehicle fleet. To avoid disruption to fleet maintenance operations, approval is required for both an increase to the contract value and a short-term extension of the current agreement while a R.F.Q. process is completed to award a new contract.

The proposed extension to November 30, 2026, will provide sufficient time to complete a competitive procurement process, obtain the necessary approvals, and put a replacement contract in place while ensuring continuity of parts supply and service delivery.

The current contract is scheduled to expire on September 30, 2026, and a competitive procurement process (R.F.Q.) for the replacement contract has already commenced. However, the replacement contract will not be in place before the current contract expires.

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

The following articles/clauses apply from the Board's By-law No. 163, Purchasing By-Law:

'20.5 Where any purchase has been authorized under this by-law, those persons authorized to make the Award may, upon being satisfied that increases are required, authorize expenditures that exceed the original approved Contract Value at the time of Award, provided that any additional expenditures cumulatively shall not exceed the lesser of:

- (a) fifteen percent (15%) of the total cost of the original approved Contract Value at the time of Award; and*
- (b) the authority of that person as set out in section 20.3.*

...

22.3 A Contract term in excess of five (5) years, regardless of value, must be approved by the Board.'

Conclusion:

For the reasons outlined above, it is recommended that the Board approve an extension to the current contract with Yonge-Steeles Ford for the supply of Ford automotive parts, for the period commencing October 1, 2026, to November 30, 2026, and an increase to the contract of \$2.103M, while a R.F.Q. process is conducted to secure a new contract on a long-term basis.

Chief Administrative Officer, Maria Duran-Schneider will be in attendance to answer any questions the Board may have regarding this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police



8. Semi-Annual Report: Suspended Police Officers – January 1, 2026, to June 30, 2026



PUBLIC REPORT

July 7, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: **Semi-Annual Report: Suspended Police Officers –
January 1, 2026, to June 30, 2026**

Purpose: ☒ Information Purposes Only ☐ Seeking Decision

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

Financial Implications:

There are no financial implications arising from the recommendation contained in this report.

Summary:

This report provides a semi-annual update on Toronto Police Service (T.P.S.) officers who were subject to suspension between January 1, 2026, to June 30, 2026. It includes officers suspended with and without pay, those subsequently reinstated, and those who resigned, retired, or were terminated while under suspension.

Background:

At its meeting of August 21, 1997, the Board requested a semi-annual status report on members suspended from the Toronto Police Service (Min. No. P347/97 and C226/01 refer).

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

- Toronto Police Service Board policy
- Police Services Act (P.S.A.), 1990
- Community Safety and Policing Act (C.S.P.A), 2019

Discussion:

Attached are confidential lists for the reporting period of January 1, 2026, to June 30, 2026. These lists identify officers who are currently suspended, those who were suspended and have since been reinstated, and those who resigned, retired, or were terminated while under suspension.

During this period, ten (10) officers were suspended from duty with pay, eleven (11) officers were suspended without pay, and seven (7) officers were reinstated following suspension. In addition, two (2) officers retired while under suspension.

Information regarding the civilian members of the Toronto Police Service who were, or remain, currently suspended is provided to the Board in a separate report.

The table below provides a comparison of suspension related activity for the January 1 to June 30 reporting period over the past five years (2022–2026).

Suspension Totals (Year to Date as of June 30) 2022-2026					
Year	Suspended With Pay	Suspended Without Pay	Suspended and Reinstated	Total Suspension	Resigned, Retired or Terminated
2022	11	0	7	18	0
2023	17	2	10	29	0
2024	10	1	7	18	1
2025	4	1	8	13	2
2026	10	11	7	28	2

Conclusion:

This report fulfills the Board's request for a semi-annual update on police officers who were subject to suspension during the reporting period. It provides an overview of current suspensions, reinstatements, and employment separations that occurred

between January 1, 2026, and June 30, 2026, and includes a five-year comparison of suspension related activity.

Chief Superintendent Shannon Dawson, Professionalism and Accountability, will be in attendance to answer any questions the Board may have regarding this report.

Reason for Confidential Information

Section 44(2)(b) and 44(2)(d) of the *Community Safety Policing Act* (C.S.P.A.)
“This report contains confidential information relating to personal matters identifiable about an individual, including members of the police service, and labour relations.”

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police

Attachments:

Confidential Appendix – Lists of police officers who were suspended with and without pay, those who were suspended and have since been reinstated, and those who resigned, retired or were terminated for the period of January 1, 2026, to June 30, 2026.



9. Chief's Administrative Investigation Reports



9.1. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Firearms Discharge at a Person – Complainant 2025.32



PUBLIC REPORT

July 10, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Firearms Discharge at a Person – Complainant 2025.32

Purpose: ☒ Information Purposes Only ☐ Seeking Decision

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information as per O. Reg. 391/23 s.9(2)(b).

Financial Implications:

There are no financial implications arising from the recommendation contained in this report.

Summary:

The Professional Standards – Special Investigations Unit Liaison (P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison) investigation determined the conduct of the subject officer was not in compliance with applicable provincial legislation regarding the Standards of Conduct and applicable Toronto Police Service (T.P.S.) procedures.

Discussion:

Background

Whenever the Special Investigations Unit (S.I.U.) investigates an incident involving death, serious injury, the discharge of a firearm at a person or the allegation of a sexual assault, provincial legislation requires the chief of police, of the relevant police service, to conduct an administrative investigation.

This is the Chief's report in respect of this incident.

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

- Community Safety and Policing Act (C.S.P.A.), 2019
- Ontario Regulations (O. Reg.)
- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.A.), 2019
- T.P.S. Procedures

S.I.U. Terminology

Complainant – Refers to the Affected Person

CW – Civilian Witness

SO – Subject Official

WO – Witness Official

S.I.U. Investigative Conclusion

In a letter to the Chief of Police dated September 23, 2025, Director Joseph Martino of the S.I.U. advised, *"The file has been closed and no further action is contemplated. In my view, there were no reasonable grounds in the evidence to proceed with criminal charges against the subject official."*

The following *S.I.U. Incident Narrative and Analysis and Director's Decision* has been reprinted from the S.I.U. Director's report, number 25-TFP-215, which can be found in its entirety via the following link:

[Special Investigations Unit -- Director's Report Details, Case Number: 25-TFP-215](#)

S.I.U. Incident Narrative

"The evidence collected by the SIU, including interviews with the Complainant, the SO and other civilian and police witnesses, and video footage that captured the incident in part, gives rise to the following scenario."

In the early evening of May 26, 2025, the Complainant had just reversed into a parking spot in the parking lot of an apartment complex in the area of Jane Street and Finch Avenue West when the Honda Civic he was operating was surrounded by several vehicles. In the front passenger seat of the Civic was the CW.

The vehicles around the Civic were unmarked police vehicles. Unknown to the Complainant and the CW, they had been followed to the parking lot by a team of TPS Guns and Gangs officers. Earlier that day, Guns and Gangs officers had located the Civic, which they had reason to believe was stolen and used in recent shooting incidents in the city, in the parking lot of Pine Point Park around Highway 401 and Islington Avenue. The Complainant had arrived at the parking lot, retrieved a bag from the occupant or occupants of another vehicle arriving in the area, and entered the Civic using a key from the bag. The team of officers followed the Civic and watched it stop to pick up the CW before making its way northbound on Highway 400 and to the address in the area of Jane Street and Finch Avenue West. That is where one of the team supervisors – WO #2 – called for a takedown.

The SO was the first officer to approach the Complainant and the CW, stopping his vehicle by the front driver side of the Civic. He was followed by other officers, including WO #5, who drove into the passenger side of the Civic. With his firearm in his right hand, the SO exited his vehicle and ordered the Complainant to stop and put his hands up. The Complainant was out of the Civic at this time and starting to flee in a southeast direction towards a fence behind the vehicle. The SO quickly caught the Complainant and wrapped his arms around him as the two found themselves by the fence. WO #5 and WO #6 (the third officer on scene) immediately joined the fray. They struck the Complainant and attempted to wrestle him to the ground. It was during this physical engagement that the SO's firearm was discharged. No one was struck by the projectile.

The Complainant was taken to the ground and handcuffed behind the back. The CW was also removed from the vehicle and arrested.”

S.I.U. Analysis and Director's Decision

“On May 26, 2025, the TPS notified the SIU that an officer's firearm had been fired in the course of arresting a male – the Complainant – earlier that day. The SIU initiated an investigation, naming the SO the subject official. The investigation is now concluded. On my assessment of the evidence, there are no reasonable grounds to believe that the SO committed a criminal offence in connection with the discharge of his firearm.

I accept that the SO's gun was fired unintentionally. That is what the officer said in his SIU interview and there is no reason to disbelieve him. According to the SO, he was holding the Complainant in a type of bear hug, trying to constrain his arms as the two wrestled by the fence, when he felt a tug on his shooting hand and his gun went off.

If the shooting was unintentional, and no one was struck by the bullet, then the offence that arises for consideration is careless use of a firearm contrary to section 86(1) of the Criminal Code. As an offence of penal negligence, a simple want of care will not suffice to give rise to liability. Rather, the offence is predicated on conduct that amounts to a marked departure from the level of care that a reasonable person would have observed in the circumstances. In the instant case, the issue is whether there was a want of care in the manner in which the SO used his firearm, sufficiently egregious to attract criminal sanction. In my view, there was not.

With information at their disposal that the Complainant was operating a stolen vehicle, I am satisfied that the SO was within his rights in seeking to arrest him for being in possession of a stolen vehicle.

I am also satisfied that the evidence falls short of reasonably establishing that the SO failed to comport himself with the requisite care in the way he used his firearm. The officer's decision to physically engage the Complainant is subject to legitimate scrutiny. The risk of accidental discharge is a real one where an officer tussles with a struggling subject with their firearm out. Ideally, where there is a need to be ready with a lethal use of force, a coordinated approach is deployed whereby an officer with a firearm at the ready provides cover as other officers make contact to effect an arrest. Circumstances, however, are rarely ideal, as this case demonstrates. I accept that the SO had cause to approach the Complainant with his gun drawn. The Civic he was operating was connected to a series of recent shootings, and the bag he had been provided by a third party at Pine Point Park appeared to contain an object of some weight in it as the Complainant entered the vehicle. Regrettably, when the Complainant chose to run instead of surrender to police as directed, the SO was left with split seconds to decide on a course of action. He could have stood down, but that would have risked a potentially armed and dangerous individual going free. His decision to engage, in the circumstances, was not without justification. For the same reasons, once he did get physically involved, it would have proven difficult to disengage without first bringing the Complainant under control. Importantly, it appears that the SO did what he could to mitigate the risk by maintaining his finger off the trigger, consistent with the training he had received. On this record, if the SO's conduct risked an unintentional firearm discharge, and it did, the risk was a calculated one that fell short of constituting a marked departure from a reasonable level of care.

For the foregoing reasons, there is no basis for proceeding with criminal charges in this case. The file is closed."

Summary of the Toronto Police Service's Investigation

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison conducted an administrative investigation as is required by provincial legislation.

This investigation examined the circumstances of the discharge of a firearm at a person in relation to the applicable legislation, policing services provided, procedures, and the conduct of the involved officers.

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation reviewed the following T.P.S. Procedures:

- Procedure 01-01 (Arrest);
- Procedure 01-02 (Search of Persons);
- Procedure 01-03 (Persons in Custody);
- Procedure 08-03 (Injured on Duty Reporting);
- Procedure 08-04 (Members Involved in a Traumatic Critical Incident);
- Procedure 10-06 (Medical Emergencies);
- Procedure 13-16 (Special Investigations Unit);
- Procedure 13-17 (Notes and Reports);
- Procedure 15-01 (Incident Response (Use of Force/De-Escalation));
- Procedure 15-02 (Injury/Illness Reporting); and
- Procedure 15-03 (Service Firearms).

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation also reviewed the following legislation:

- Community Safety and Policing Act (C.S.P.A.)
- Ontario Regulations governing S.I.U. investigations, use of force and weapons.
- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.A.), 2019

Conclusion:

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation determined that the T.P.S.'s policies and procedures associated with this firearms discharge were lawful, consistent with current legislation, and written in a manner that provided adequate and appropriate guidance to members. None of the examined policies and procedures required modification.

The SO is a member of the Integrated Gun and Gang Task Force (I.G.G.T.F.). I.G.G.T.F. investigators had been following the Complainant, as the vehicle being operated was stolen and had been involved in recent shootings. The S.O. and his team followed the Complainant and the stolen vehicle to a parking lot in the area of Jane Street and Finch Avenue West, where they initiated a gunpoint takedown of the vehicle and its occupants. As officers approached the vehicle, the Complainant fled, with the S.O. in pursuit. The S.O. caught up to the Complainant and attempted to subdue him. During the struggle, the S.O.'s firearm discharged, missing the Complainant and striking the bumper of the stolen vehicle.

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation concluded that the S.O. was not in compliance with Procedure 15-03 (Service Firearms). Specifically, it was found that the S.O.'s firearm was unintentionally discharged during the struggle with the Complainant. Procedure 15-03 states, in part, that a police officer "*shall not draw a handgun, point a*

firearm at a person, or discharge a firearm unless there are reasonable grounds to believe that doing so is necessary to protect against loss of life or serious bodily harm.”
The SO is responsible for all rounds discharged from their firearm and, despite the discharge being unintentional, was not in compliance with Procedure 15-03.

Additionally, it was substantiated that the S.O. deviated from training standards by attaching a holster to the front of his external body armour and by failing to load the prescribed number of rounds into his duty magazine.

None of the officers involved were equipped with B.W.C.s

Chief Superintendent Shannon Dawson, Professionalism and Accountability, will be in attendance to answer any questions that the Board may have regarding this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police



9.2. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2025.56



PUBLIC REPORT

July 10, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: **Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2025.56**

Purpose: ☒ Information Purposes Only ☐ Seeking Decision

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

Financial Implications:

There are no financial implications arising from the recommendation contained in this report.

Summary:

The Professional Standards – Special Investigations Unit Liaison (P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison) investigation determined the conduct of the designated officials was in compliance with applicable provincial legislation regarding the Standards of Conduct and the applicable Toronto Police Service (T.P.S.) Procedures.

Discussion:

Background

Whenever the Special Investigations Unit (S.I.U.) investigates an incident involving death, serious injury, the discharge of a firearm at a person or the allegation of a sexual

assault, provincial legislation requires the chief of police of the relevant police service, to conduct an administrative investigation.

This is the Chief's report in respect of this incident.

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

- Community Safety and Policing Act (C.S.P.A.), 2019
- Ontario Regulations (O. Reg.)
- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.A.), 2019
- T.P.S. Procedures

S.I.U. Terminology

Complainant – Refers to the Affected Person

SO – Subject Official

WO – Witness Official

S.I.U. Investigative Conclusion

In a letter to the Chief of Police dated January 22, 2026, Director Joseph Martino of the S.I.U. advised, *"The file has been closed, and no further action is contemplated. In my view, there were no reasonable grounds in the evidence to proceed with criminal charges against the subject official."*

The following *S.I.U. Incident Narrative and Analysis and Director's Decision* has been reprinted from the S.I.U. Director's report, number 25-TCI-390, which can be found via the following link:

[Special Investigations Unit -- Director's Report Details, Case Number: 25-TCI-390](#)

S.I.U. Incident Narrative

"The evidence collected by the SIU, including interviews with the Complainant and the other witnesses, both police and non-police, and video footage that captured the incident in part, gives rise to the following scenario. As was his legal right, the SO did not agree to an interview with the SIU or the release of his notes.

In the early morning of September 26, 2025, the Complainant was with a friend – CW #1 – at the Rock N Horse Saloon, 250 Adelaide Street West. He had been drinking and was intoxicated. He approached a group on the dance floor and attempted to dance with a female – CW #2. CW #2 was not interested and turned away from the Complainant. The Complainant left the group briefly before returning and attempting

again to engage with the females.

The SO and WO #1 were together with CW #2 and other females in the group. They were off-duty. The SO walked up to the Complainant, stood face to face with him, and asked that he leave their party alone. The Complainant pushed the SO backwards. WO #1 intervened to keep the two separated. Quickly, bar security personnel intervened and escorted the Complainant out of the bar.

The Complainant, joined shortly by CW #1, walked west away from the bar on Adelaide Street West. They were in the area of a fire station a couple of properties west of the bar when the SO appeared and confronted the Complainant. The two became involved in a physical altercation in which the Complainant was getting the better of the SO. WO #1 exited the bar, observed what was happening and joined in the fracas. The Complainant was taken down and kept pinned to the ground by the SO. WO #1 advised the Complainant that they were officers and he was under arrest for assault.

WO #1 called for the attendance of on-duty officers. On their arrival, the Complainant was handcuffed behind the back. He was eventually released at the scene on a promise to appear in court.

The Complainant attended the hospital the following day and was diagnosed with a concussion.”

S.I.U. Analysis and Director’s Decision

“The Complainant was seriously injured in the course of an altercation with off-duty TPS officers on September 26, 2025. The SIU was notified of the incident and initiated an investigation. The SO was identified as the subject official. The investigation is now concluded. On my assessment of the evidence, there are no reasonable grounds to believe that the SO committed a criminal offence in connection with the Complainant’s injury.

Pursuant to section 25(1) of the Criminal Code, police officers are immune from criminal liability for force used in the course of their duties provided such force was reasonably necessary in the execution of an act that they were required or authorized to do by law.

The Complainant appears to have been the aggressor in the bar. I accept that the SO walked up to him, stood in his personal space and, probably, not in the kindest of ways, told the Complainant to leave his group alone. That said, the Complainant had persisted in harassing a group and could have expected a rebuke of some nature. Moreover, there is no evidence that the SO uttered threats at any time. On this record, I am satisfied that the SO had lawful grounds to believe that the Complainant’s push constituted an unlawful assault. By extension, I am also satisfied that the SO had cause to arrest the Complainant for the offence.

With respect to the force the SO and WO #1 brought to bear in the Complainant's arrest, the evidence falls short of reasonably establishing it was excessive or unwarranted. There is a question whether the SO identified himself as an officer to the Complainant or advised him he was under arrest and, if not, whether the Complainant was within his rights in defending himself when challenged by the SO outside the fire station. As there is evidence on either side of this issue, I am unable to reasonably conclude the officer's interventions were without legal authority. Again, it appears that the Complainant gave more than he received in terms of the fight that ensued between he and the SO. In the circumstances, his takedown at the hands of the SO and WO #1 was a reasonable tactic. It brought the skirmish to an end and better positioned the officers to deal more effectively with any continuing resistance on the part of the Complainant.

In the result, while I accept that the Complainant suffered a concussion in the clash that marked his arrest, there are no reasonable grounds to believe the injury was the result of unlawful conduct on the part of the SO. As such, there is no basis for proceeding with criminal charges in this case. The file is closed."

Summary of the Toronto Police Service's Investigation

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison conducted an Administrative Investigation as is required by provincial legislation.

This investigation examined the circumstances of the custody injury in relation to the applicable legislation, policing services provided, procedures, and the conduct of the involved officers.

As part of the review, the P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison examined the following T.P.S. Procedures:

- Procedure 01-01 (Arrest);
- Procedure 01-08 (Criminal Code Release);
- Procedure 13-16 (Special Investigations Unit);
- Procedure 13-17 (Notes and Reports);
- Procedure 15-01 (Incident Response (Use of Force/De-Escalation));
- Procedure 15-17 (In-Car Camera System); and
- Procedure 15-20 (Body-Worn Camera).

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation also included a review of the following provincial legislation:

- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.A.), 2019

Professional Standards Investigative Unit (P.R.S. – I.N.V.) investigation was initiated to determine whether the involved officers were in compliance with the following provincial legislation.

- Community Safety and Policing Act (C.S.P.A.)
- Ontario Regulation 407/23 (s. 10(1) and s. 21)

Conclusion:

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation determined that the T.P.S.'s policies and procedures associated with this custody injury were lawful, consistent with current legislation, and written in a manner which provided adequate and appropriate guidance to members. None of the examined policies and procedures required modification.

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation further determined that the conduct of the subject official was in compliance with applicable provincial legislation regarding the Standards of Conduct, the relevant T.P.S. Procedures.

Chief Superintendent Shannon Dawson, Professionalism and Accountability, will be in attendance to answer any questions that the Board may have regarding this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police



9.3. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2025.64



PUBLIC REPORT

July 10, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: **Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2025.64**

Purpose: ☒ Information Purposes Only ☐ Seeking Decision

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

Financial Implications:

There are no financial implications arising from the recommendation contained in this report.

Summary:

The Professional Standards – Special Investigations Unit Liaison (P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison) investigation determined the conduct of the involved officers was in compliance with applicable provincial legislation regarding the Standards of Conduct and the applicable Toronto Police Service (T.P.S.) Procedures.

Discussion:

Background

Whenever the Special Investigations Unit (S.I.U.) investigates an incident involving death, serious injury, the discharge of a firearm at a person or the allegation of a sexual

assault, provincial legislation requires the chief of police of the relevant police service, to conduct an administrative investigation.

This is the Chief's report in respect of this incident.

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

- Community Safety and Policing Act (C.S.P.A.), 2019
- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.A.), 2019
- T.P.S. Procedures

S.I.U. Terminology

Complainant – Refers to the Affected Person

SO – Subject Official

WO – Witness Official

CW – Civilian Witness

SEW – Service Employee Witness

S.I.U. Investigative Conclusion

In a letter to the Chief of Police dated March 3, 2026, Director Joseph Martino of the S.I.U. advised, *"The file has been closed, and no further action is contemplated. In my view, there were no reasonable grounds in the evidence to proceed with criminal charges against the subject official."*

The following *S.I.U. Incident Narrative and Analysis and Director's Decision* has been reprinted from the S.I.U. Director's report, number 25-TCI-445, which can be found via the following link:

[Special Investigations Unit -- Director's Report Details, Case Number: 25-TCI-445](#)

S.I.U. Incident Narrative

"The evidence collected by the SIU, including interviews with the Complainant and Police witnesses, and video footage that largely captured the incident, gives rise to the following scenario. As was his legal right, the SO did not agree an interview with the SIU or the release of his notes.

In the evening of November 2, 2025, WO #1 and WO #2 were dispatched to a house in the area of Ellesmere Road and Meadowvale Road, Toronto, in connection with a reported disturbance. The Complainant had been quarrelling with the CW and the

argument had turned physical. The Complainant was belligerent with the officers and refused to be assessed by attending paramedics. At one point, while outside in front of the ambulance, the Complainant swatted WO #1's hands. The officer reacted by pushing the Complainant backward towards the ambulance and through the open side door. The two tussled for a brief period before WO #1 delivered a series of right-handed punches to the Complainant's head area. Shortly after, the Complainant was handcuffed. He was subsequently brought to 43 Division and lodged in a cell.

Early the following day, SEW #1 attended at the cell to retrieve the Complainant to have him speak with duty counsel. The Complainant refused to exit the cell and then prevented the special constable from closing the cell door, continually positioning a leg to block its closure. Additional officers attended and, following a struggle, removed the Complainant from the cell in order to place him in another cell. The second cell had a "D" ring that could be used to further restrain the Complainant while in the cell. The Complainant refused to cooperate with his relocation and was dragged from the first cell to the second cell and forced inside.

Just inside the second cell, the Complainant grabbed hold of the SO's left leg. The officer reacted by punching the Complainant six times to the head, after which he let go of the leg. Additional officers entered the cell and assisted in handcuffing the Complainant to the "D" ring.

The Complainant was transported to hospital in the afternoon of the same day. His left eye was swollen, and his nose had started bleeding. He was diagnosed with a fracture of the left orbital bone."

S.I.U. Analysis and Director's Decision

"The Complainant was seriously injured by a TPS officer on November 2 or 3, 2025. The SIU was notified of the incident and initiated an investigation, naming the SO the subject official. The investigation is now concluded. On my assessment of the evidence, there are no reasonable grounds to believe that the SO committed a criminal offence in connection with the Complainant's injury.

Pursuant to section 25(1) of the Criminal Code, police officers are immune from criminal liability for force used in the course of their duties provided such force was reasonably necessary in the execution of an act that they were required or authorized to do by law.

I am satisfied that the Complainant was lawfully taken into custody by WO #1 and WO #2. When he swatted WO #1, he rendered himself subject to arrest for assault. Once in custody, the police were entitled to control his movements to ensure he was safely processed according to law.

I am also satisfied that the police used no more force than was legally justified during the Complainant's arrest and period of custody. The Complainant was combative from the moment he laid hands on WO #1. The officer reacted reasonably to defend himself

by pushing the Complainant backwards. The punches he subsequently struck were significant but within the range of what was reasonable considering that the Complainant had grabbed hold of the officer's vest and was struggling with him as the two entered the ambulance. The same can be said of the punches struck by the SO. The Complainant had made it clear that he would not cooperate with his relocation. He escalated the situation, however, when he wrapped his arms around the SO's left leg and refused to let go until the last of the officer's punches

It remains unclear whether the Complainant fractured his left orbital bone during his altercation with WO #1 or the SO. Be that as it may, for the foregoing reasons, there is no basis for proceeding with charges against either officer. The file is closed."

Summary of the Toronto Police Service's Investigation

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison conducted an Administrative Investigation as is required by provincial legislation.

This investigation examined the circumstances of the custody injury in relation to the applicable legislation, policing services provided, procedures, and the conduct of the involved officers.

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation reviewed the following T.P.S. Procedures:

- Procedure 01-01 (Arrest);
- Procedure 01-02 (Search of Persons);
- Procedure 01-03 (Persons in Custody);
- Procedure 08-03 (Injured on Duty Reporting);
- Procedure 08-04 (Members Involved in a Traumatic Critical Incident);
- Procedure 10-06 (Medical Emergencies);
- Procedure 13-16 (Special Investigations Unit);
- Procedure 13-17 (Notes and Reports);
- Procedure 15-01 (Incident Response (Use of Force/De-Escalation));
- Procedure 15-17 (In-Car Camera System); and
- Procedure 15-20 (Body-Worn Camera).

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation also reviewed the following legislation:

- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.A.), 2019

Conclusion:

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation determined that the T.P.S.'s policies and procedures associated with this custody injury were lawful, in keeping with current legislation, and written in a manner which provided adequate and appropriate guidance to the members. None of the examined policies and procedures required modification.

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation determined the conduct of the involved officers was in compliance with applicable provincial legislation regarding the Standards of Conduct, and the applicable T.P.S. Procedures.

There was no evidence uncovered by the S.I.U.'s criminal investigation or this Administrative Investigation that found that any of the officers had committed themselves other than lawfully in their dealings with the Complainant during his arrest and subsequent custodial period.

Chief Superintendent Shannon Dawson, Professionalism and Accountability, will be in attendance to answer any questions that the Board may have regarding this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police



9.4. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Firearm Death of Complainant 2025.66



PUBLIC REPORT

July 10, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: **Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Firearm Death of Complainant 2025.66**

Purpose: ☒ Information Purposes Only ☐ Seeking Decision

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information, as per O. Reg. 391/23 s. 9(2)(b).

Financial Implications:

There are no financial implications arising from the recommendation contained in this report.

Summary:

The Professional Standards – Special Investigations Unit Liaison (P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison) investigation determined the conduct of the designated officials was in compliance with applicable provincial legislation regarding the Standards of Conduct and the applicable Toronto Police Service (T.P.S.) procedures.

Discussion:

Background

Whenever the Special Investigations Unit (S.I.U.) investigates an incident involving death, serious injury, the discharge of a firearm at a person or the allegation of a sexual

assault, provincial legislation requires the chief of police, of the relevant police service, to conduct an administrative investigation.

This is the Chief's report in respect of this incident.

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

- Community Safety and Policing Act (C.S.P.A.), 2019
- Ontario Regulations (O. Reg.)
- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.A.), 2019
- T.P.S. Procedures

S.I.U. Terminology

Complainant – Refers to the Affected Person

SO – Subject Official(s)

WO – Witness Official

CW – Civilian Witness

S.I.U. Investigative Conclusion

In a letter to the Chief of Police dated April 2, 2026, Director Joseph Martino of the S.I.U. advised, *"The file has been closed and no further action is contemplated. In my view, there were no reasonable grounds in the evidence to proceed with criminal charges against the subject official."*

The following *S.I.U. Incident Narrative and Analysis and Director's Decision* has been reprinted from the S.I.U. Director's report, number 25-TFD-504, which can be found via the following link:

[Special Investigations Unit -- Director's Report Details, Case Number: 25-TFD-504](#)

S.I.U. Incident Narrative

"The evidence collected by the SIU, including an interview with a police eyewitness, and video footage that captured the incident, gives rise to the following scenario. As was his legal right, the SO chose not to interview with the SIU or authorize the release of his notes.

At about 1:10 a.m., December 6, 2025, the SO, accompanied by WO #1, arrived on the premises of the Danforth GO Station. A transit user – the CW – had contacted police to report a male inside the station with a gun. The CW had exited a train shortly after 1:00 a.m. and was in the underground tunnel of the station when he observed a male loading

a magazine into what he believed was a handgun.

The male was the Complainant. He was wearing a grey hoodie and in possession of a BB gun. It is unclear what he was doing at the train station at the time.

The SO and WO #1 made their way to the entrance at the west side of the station and descended a set of stairs to the underground tunnel that provided access via other staircases to Platforms 2 and 3 of the station and an exit at the southern end of the tunnel. The officers were in the tunnel beside the stairs to Platforms 2 and 3 when the Complainant appeared from around a corner south of their location and took a few steps towards them. The Complainant was ordered to raise his hands and he did so, but only for a moment before he turned away and ran around a nearby corner to the exit stair.

Led by the SO, the officers gave chase as the Complainant ran up the first flight of a staircase towards a midpoint landing. As he did so, the Complainant retrieved a BB gun with his right hand from the front pouch of his hoodie and swung his right arm to the side and backwards in the SO's direction. At about this time, the officer, his firearm pointed at the Complainant and standing below him on the staircase, ordered the Complainant to drop the gun and fired seven times in his direction. The time was 1:14 a.m.

The Complainant was struck multiple times. He fell on the first steps that continued upwards past the landing, dropping the BB gun in the process.

The officers repositioned the Complainant on the landing and the SO administered chest compressions. Additional officers arrived on scene, followed by firefighters and paramedics, and assisted with emergency first aid.

The Complainant was transported to hospital and pronounced deceased at 2:02 a.m.

Cause of Death

The pathologist at autopsy was of the preliminary view that the Complainant's death was attributable to a gunshot wound to the chest."

S.I.U. Analysis and Director's Decision

"The Complainant passed away on December 6, 2025, as a result of a gunshot wound inflicted by a TPS officer. The SIU was notified of the incident and initiated an investigation, naming the SO the subject official. The investigation is now concluded. On my assessment of the evidence, there are no reasonable grounds to believe that the SO committed a criminal offence in connection with the Complainant's death.

Section 34 of the Criminal Code provides that conduct that would otherwise constitute an offence is legally justified if it was intended to deter a reasonably apprehended assault, actual or threatened, and was itself reasonable. The reasonableness of the

conduct is to be assessed in light of all the relevant circumstances, including with respect to such considerations as the nature of the force or threat; the extent to which the use of force was imminent and whether there were other means available to respond to the potential use of force; whether any party to the incident used or threatened to use a weapon; and, the nature and proportionality of the person's response to the use or threat of force.

I am satisfied that the SO fired his gun to protect himself from a reasonably apprehended attack by the Complainant. Though the SO did not agree an interview with the SIU to provide that evidence firsthand, as was his legal right, his mindset is safely inferred from the circumstances at the time, namely, an individual running from apprehension and pointing a gun in his direction. The gun, a BB gun, gave the appearance of an actual firearm and the SO would have had no reason to think otherwise.

The evidence also establishes that the SO's act of self-defence, namely, the discharge of seven shots fired at the Complainant, constituted reasonable force. The shots, fired in rapid succession from a distance of no more than about three to four metres, occurred as the Complainant drew his BB gun and swung it in the SO's direction. During that time, the officer would have believed that he was at imminent risk of death or grievous bodily harm from a firearm and that what was required was the Complainant's immediate incapacitation. The option available to the SO with the best chance of doing just that was his gun. On this record, I am satisfied that the SO did not act with excess when he chose to meet a reasonably apprehended lethal threat with a resort to lethal force of his own

For the foregoing reasons, there is no basis to proceed with criminal charges in this case. The file is closed."

Summary of the Toronto Police Service's Investigation

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison conducted an administrative investigation as is required by provincial legislation.

This investigation examined the circumstances of the firearm discharge and custody injury in relation to the applicable legislation, policing services provided, procedures and the conduct of the involved officers.

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation reviewed the following T.P.S. Procedures:

- Procedure 01-01 (Arrest);
- Procedure 10-06 (Medical Emergencies);
- Procedure 13-16 (Special Investigations Unit);
- Procedure 13-17 (Notes and Reports);
- Procedure 15-01 (Incident Response (Use of Force/De-Escalation));
- Procedure 15-02 (Injury/Illness Reporting);

- Procedure 15-03 (Service Firearms); and
- Procedure 15-20 (Body-Worn Camera).

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation also reviewed the following legislation:

- Community Safety and Policing Act (C.S.P.A.), 2019;
- Ontario Regulation 391/23 (Use of Force and Weapons);
- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.A.), 2019

Conclusion:

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation determined that the T.P.S.'s policies and procedures associated with this firearm death were lawful, in keeping with current legislation, and written in a manner which provided adequate and appropriate guidance to members. None of the examined policies and procedures required modification.

The investigation further determined that the conduct of the subject official complied with applicable provincial legislation governing the Standards of Conduct, relevant T.P.S. procedures, and the officers' training.

The following additional comments are provided:

The SO and WO responded to a call regarding a person with a gun.

Within minutes of arriving, the officers encountered the Complainant, who fled up a staircase upon seeing them. The officers pursued him up the stairs. Approximately halfway up the staircase, the Complainant produced a firearm and pointed it at the SO. In response, the SO discharged his firearm seven times, striking the Complainant, who then fell onto a landing and dropped his firearm.

The SO's decision to use lethal force was lawful, proportionate, and reasonably justified given the threat faced by the officers.

The officers involved in this incident activated their Body-Worn Camera's in compliance with Procedures 15-20. This footage documented the interaction between the Complainant and the officers and assisted the S.I.U. in its investigation.

Chief Superintendent Shannon Dawson, Professionalism and Accountability, will be in attendance to answer any questions that the Board may have regarding this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police



9.5. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Death of Complainant 2025.69



PUBLIC REPORT

July 10, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: **Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody
Death of Complainant 2025.69**

Purpose: ☒ Information Purposes Only ☐ Seeking Decision

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

Financial Implications:

There are no financial implications arising from the recommendation contained in this report.

Summary:

The Professional Standards – Special Investigations Unit Liaison (P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison) investigation determined the conduct of the designated officials was in compliance with applicable provincial legislation regarding the Standards of Conduct and the applicable Toronto Police Service (T.P.S.) Procedures.

Discussion:

Background

Whenever the Special Investigations Unit (S.I.U.) investigates an incident involving death, serious injury, the discharge of a firearm at a person or the allegation of a sexual

assault, provincial legislation requires the chief of police of the relevant police service, to conduct an administrative investigation.

This is the Chief's report in respect of this incident.

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

- Community Safety and Policing Act (C.S.P.A.), 2019
- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.A.), 2019
- T.P.S. Procedures

S.I.U. Investigative Conclusion

In a letter to the Chief of Police dated April 20, 2026, Director Joseph Martino of the S.I.U. advised, *"The file has been closed, and no further action is contemplated. In my view, there were no reasonable grounds in the evidence to proceed with criminal charges against the subject official."*

The S.I.U. may omit information from the Directors Report stating in part, *"pursuant to section 32(4) of the Special Investigations Unit Act, 2019, if the SIU Director is of the opinion that a person's privacy interest in not having the information published clearly outweighs the public interest in having the information published, and includes in the report the reasons for the omission."*

Conclusion:

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation determined that the T.P.S.'s policies and procedures associated with this custody death were lawful, in keeping with current legislation, and written in a manner which provided adequate and appropriate guidance to the members. None of the examined policies and procedures required modification.

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation determined the conduct of the involved officers was in compliance with applicable provincial legislation regarding the Standards of Conduct, and the applicable T.P.S. Procedures. The following additional comments are provided:

There was no evidence uncovered by the S.I.U.'s criminal investigation or this Administrative Investigation that found that any of the officers had comported themselves other than lawfully in their dealings with the Complainant.

The details of this incident have been reported to the Board in the confidential meeting.

Chief Superintendent Shannon Dawson, Professionalism and Accountability, will be in attendance to answer any questions that the Board may have regarding this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police



9.6. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Firearm Injury of Complainant 2025.70



PUBLIC REPORT

July 10, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: **Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Firearm Injury of Complainant 2025.70**

Purpose: ☒ Information Purposes Only ☐ Seeking Decision

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information as per O. Reg. 391/23 s.9(2)(b).

Financial Implications:

There are no financial implications arising from the recommendation contained in this report.

Summary:

The Professional Standards – Special Investigations Unit Liaison (P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison) investigation determined the conduct of all the designated officials was in compliance with applicable provincial legislation regarding the Standards of Conduct and applicable Toronto Police Service (T.P.S.) procedures.

Discussion:

Background

Whenever the Special Investigations Unit (S.I.U.) investigates an incident involving death, serious injury, the discharge of a firearm at a person or the allegation of a sexual assault, provincial legislation requires the chief of police, of the relevant police service, to conduct an administrative investigation.

This is the Chief's report in respect of this incident.

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

- Community Safety and Policing Act (C.S.P.A.), 2019
- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.A.), 2019
- T.P.S. Procedures

S.I.U. Terminology

Complainant – Refers to the Affected Person

CW – Civilian Witness

SO – Subject Official

WO – Witness Official

S.I.U. Investigative Conclusion

In a letter to the Chief of Police dated April 21, 2026, Director Joseph Martino of the S.I.U. advised, *"The file has been closed, and no further action is contemplated. In my view, there were no reasonable grounds in the evidence to proceed with criminal charges against the subject official."*

The following *S.I.U. Incident Narrative and Analysis and Director's Decision* has been reprinted from the S.I.U. Director's report, number 25-TFI-530, which can be found in its entirety via the following link:

[Special Investigations Unit -- Director's Report Details, Case Number: 25-TFI-530](#)

The S.I.U. published a media release relating to this incident, on April 21, 2026, entitled: "S.I.U. Concludes Investigation into Toronto Police Involved Shooting". This media release can be accessed on the following link:

[Special Investigations Unit -- News Release](#)

S.I.U. Incident Narrative

“The evidence collected by the SIU, including interviews with police and non-police witnesses, and video footage that captured the incident, gives rise to the following scenario. As was his legal right, the SO did not agree to an interview with the SIU or the release of his notes.

At about 3:30 p.m., December 22, 2025, TPS officers were called to a residence located on Shoreham Court. A resident – CW #2 – had called 911 to report that the Complainant was armed with a knife and attacking persons inside the home.

Officers, including the SO, arrived at the front door of the home. Within seconds of their arrival, the interior door opened and a male was pushed outside by the Complainant, who quickly closed the door behind him. The male was escorted a distance from the home as officers attempted to kick open the door. As this was occurring, the dispatcher reported an ongoing commotion inside the residence, including utterances to the effect of, “I stabbed him,” and “I killed him.” Blood was said to be everywhere inside the residence. CW #1 also made a 911 call from inside the home in which she exhorted police to break open the door. Officers left the area of the front door to explore other possible access points to the house, leaving the SO alone in front of the door.

The Complainant was of unsound mind at the time. He had taken possession of a knife and inflicted serious lacerations to CW #1 and CW #2. He pushed back against the front door as officers attempted to force it open. At about 3:32 p.m., seconds after the SO had been left alone at the front door, the Complainant opened the interior door and moved quickly towards the officer. He was holding a red-handled knife in his right hand.

The SO had his right hand on the doorknob, still trying to open the door, when the door suddenly opened and he was confronted by the Complainant. The officer immediately stepped back off the front door stoop, drew his firearm and fired two shots in quick succession. The Complainant was just past the door’s threshold at the time, approximately a metre from the officer.

The Complainant was felled by the gunfire. He dropped to the stoop and rolled off it, the knife no longer in his possession. He had been struck in the left chest and right abdomen.

Officers quickly moved in and handcuffed the Complainant behind the back. Seals were applied to his wounds.

The Complainant was transported to hospital and treated for his injuries.”

S.I.U. Analysis and Director's Decision

"The Complainant was shot and wounded by a TPS officer on December 22, 2025. The SIU was notified of the incident and initiated an investigation, naming the SO the subject official. The investigation is now concluded. On my assessment of the evidence, there are no reasonable grounds to believe that the SO committed a criminal offence in connection with the shooting.

Section 34 of the Criminal Code provides that conduct that would otherwise constitute an offence is legally justified if it was intended to deter a reasonably apprehended assault, actual or threatened, and was itself reasonable. The reasonableness of the conduct is to be assessed in light of all the relevant circumstances, including with respect to such considerations as the nature of the force or threat; the extent to which the use of force was imminent and whether there were other means available to respond to the potential use of force; whether any party to the incident used or threatened to use a weapon; and, the nature and proportionality of the person's response to the use or threat of force.

The SO and the other involved officers were lawfully placed and in the exercise of their duties through the series of events culminating in gunfire. With information at their disposal from 911 calls that the Complainant had attacked persons inside the home with a knife and remained a live threat, the officers were within their rights in attempting to force their way into the residence to do what they could to prevent further harm coming to its occupants.

I am satisfied that the SO fired his gun to protect himself from a reasonably apprehended attack on the part of the Complainant. Though the officer did not provide an account of his mindset in an interview with the SIU, as was his legal right the BWC footage is compelling evidence that the SO fired his gun to defend himself from a knife attack by the Complainant. The footage indicates that the Complainant moved in the SO's direction with a knife and was no more than about a metre from the officer when the shots were fired.

I am also satisfied that the SO's resort to gunfire was reasonable defensive force. The SO was responding to an emergency situation following calls to police about the Complainant attacking persons inside the residence with a knife. He would have appreciated that the Complainant represented a real and present danger of grievous bodily harm and death to those inside the house, and he would have understood the necessity of entering the home as soon as possible to protect its residents and take the Complainant into custody. On this record, there is little doubt that the Complainant constituted a lethal threat to the SO when he opened the door, confronted the officer at close range with a knife, and started to move in his direction. The officer would have had every reason to believe that his life was at imminent risk by a knife attack and that immediate action was necessary to incapacitate the Complainant. The weapon with the greatest chance of doing just that was a firearm. Lesser force without the stopping power of a gun would have left the officer

dangerously exposed had they been ineffective in deterring the Complainant. Nor was retreat an option for the SO given the speed with which events unfolded.

For the foregoing reasons, there is no basis for proceeding with criminal charges in this case. The file is closed.”

Summary of the Toronto Police Service’s Investigation

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison conducted an administrative investigation as is required by provincial legislation.

This investigation examined the circumstances of the firearm discharge at a person in relation to the applicable legislation, policing services provided, procedures, and the conduct of the involved officers.

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation reviewed the following T.P.S. Procedures:

- Procedure 01-01 (Arrest);
- Procedure 01-02 (Search of Persons);
- Procedure 04-21 (Gathering/Preserving Evidence);
- Procedure 05-34 (Serious Assaults);
- Procedure 06-04 (Persons In Crisis);
- Procedure 06-13 (Mobile Crisis Intervention Team);
- Procedure 08-03 (Injured on Duty Reporting);
- Procedure 08-04 (Members Involved in a Traumatic Critical Incident);
- Procedure 10-05 (Incidents Requiring the Emergency Task Force);
- Procedure 10-06 (Medical Emergencies);
- Procedure 13-16 (Special Investigations Unit);
- Procedure 13-17 (Notes and Reports);
- Procedure 15-01 (Incident Response (Use of Force/De-Escalation));
- Procedure 15-02 (Injury/Illness Reporting);
- Procedure 15-03 (Service Firearms); and
- Procedure 15-20 (Body-Worn Camera).

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation also reviewed the following legislation:

- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.), 2019;

Conclusion:

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation determined that the T.P.S.’s policies and procedures associated with this firearm discharge at a person were lawful, in keeping with current legislation, and written in a manner which provided adequate and

appropriate guidance to the members. None of the examined policies and procedures required modification.

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation determined the conduct of all the designated officials was in compliance with T.P.S. procedures and the officers' training.

Chief Superintendent Shannon Dawson, Professionalism and Accountability, will be in attendance to answer any questions that the Board may have regarding this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police



9.7. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Other Death of Complainant 2025.71



PUBLIC REPORT

July 10, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: **Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Other Death of Complainant 2025.71**

Purpose: ☒ Information Purposes Only ☐ Seeking Decision

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

Financial Implications:

There are no financial implications arising from the recommendation contained in this report.

Summary:

The Professional Standards – Special Investigations Unit Liaison (P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison) investigation determined the conduct of the designated officials was in compliance with applicable provincial legislation regarding the Standards of Conduct and the applicable Toronto Police Service (T.P.S.) Procedures.

Discussion:

Background

Whenever the Special Investigations Unit (S.I.U.) investigates an incident involving death, serious injury, the discharge of a firearm at a person or the allegation of a sexual

assault, provincial legislation requires the chief of police of the relevant police service, to conduct an administrative investigation.

This is the Chief's report in respect of this incident.

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

- Community Safety and Policing Act (C.S.P.A.), 2019
- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.A.), 2019
- T.P.S. Procedures

S.I.U. Terminology

Complainant – Refers to the Affected Person

SO – Subject Official

WO – Witness Official(s)

CW – Civilian Witness

S.I.U. Investigative Conclusion

In a letter to the Chief of Police dated April 21, 2026, Director Joseph Martino of the S.I.U. advised, *"The file has been closed, and no further action is contemplated. In my view, there were no reasonable grounds in the evidence to proceed with criminal charges against the subject official."*

The S.I.U. may omit information from the Directors Report stating in part, *"pursuant to section 32(4) of the Special Investigations Unit Act, 2019, if the SIU Director is of the opinion that a person's privacy interest in not having the information published clearly outweighs the public interest in having the information published, and includes in the report the reasons for the omission"*.

Conclusion:

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation determined that the T.P.S.'s policies and procedures associated with this custody death were lawful, in keeping with current legislation, and written in a manner that provided adequate and appropriate guidance to the members. No modifications to the examined policies or procedures were required.

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation determined that the conduct of the officers involved was in compliance with applicable provincial legislation regarding the Standards of Conduct and applicable T.P.S. procedures. The following additional comments are provided.

There was no evidence uncovered by the S.I.U.'s criminal investigation or this Administrative Investigation that found that any of the officers had comported themselves other than lawfully in their dealings with the Complainant.

The details of this incident have been reported to the Board in the confidential meeting.

Chief Superintendent Shannon Dawson, Professionalism and Accountability, will be in attendance to answer any questions that the Board may have regarding this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police



9.8. Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2026.13



PUBLIC REPORT

July 10, 2026

To: Chair and Members
Toronto Police Service Board

From: Myron Demkiw
Chief of Police

Subject: **Chief's Administrative Investigation into the Custody Injury of Complainant 2026.13**

Purpose: ☒ Information Purposes Only ☐ Seeking Decision

Recommendation:

This report recommends that the Toronto Police Service Board (Board) receive this report for information.

Financial Implications:

There are no financial implications arising from the recommendation contained in this report.

Summary:

The Professional Standards – Special Investigations Unit Liaison (P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison) investigation determined the conduct of the involved officers was in compliance with applicable provincial legislation regarding the Standards of Conduct and the applicable Toronto Police Service (T.P.S.) Procedures.

Discussion:

Background

Whenever the Special Investigations Unit (S.I.U.) investigates an incident involving death, serious injury, the discharge of a firearm at a person or the allegation of a sexual

assault, provincial legislation requires the chief of police of the relevant police service, to conduct an administrative investigation.

This is the Chief's report in respect of this incident.

Relevant Board Policies and Compliance

- Community Safety and Policing Act (C.S.P.A.), 2019
- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.A.), 2019
- T.P.S. Procedures

S.I.U. Terminology

Complainant – Refers to the Affected Person

SO – Subject Official

WO – Witness Official

S.I.U. Investigative Conclusion

In a letter to the Chief of Police dated June 4, 2026, Director Joseph Martino of the S.I.U. advised, *"The file has been closed, and no further action is contemplated. In my view, there were no reasonable grounds in the evidence to proceed with criminal charges against the subject official."*

The following *S.I.U. Incident Narrative and Analysis and Director's Decision* has been reprinted from the S.I.U. Director's report, number 26-TCI-089, which can be found via the following link:

[Special Investigations Unit -- Director's Report Details, Case Number: 26-TCI-089](#)

S.I.U. Incident Narrative

"The evidence collected by the SIU, including interviews with the Complainant and police and non-police eyewitness, and video footage that captured the incident, gives rise to the following scenario. As was his legal right, the SO did not agree an interview with the SIU or the release of his notes.

In the morning of February 23, 2026, the SO and the WO were dispatched to a convenience store in the area of Lake Shore Boulevard West and Kipling Avenue. A store employee had contacted police to report a male and female shoplifter. The officers arrived on scene and spoke with store staff, confirming that two suspects had left the store with alcohol and food without paying for the items. The employee showed a video clip he had taken with his cellphone, depicting the male and female.

The SO and the WO located the suspects – the CW and the Complainant – at a nearby bus shelter. The CW was taken into custody by the WO without incident. The Complainant, however, resisted when the SO attempted to secure her in handcuffs. The officer was able to affix a cuff to the right hand but had difficulty attaching the other cuff to the Complainant's left hand. The Complainant objected to her arrest, denied that she had done anything wrong, and struggled against the SO's efforts to bring her arms behind the back. The tussle eventually made its way outside the bus shelter where the WO intervened. The officers brought the Complainant to the ground, after which the WO secured both her hands in handcuffs.

Following her arrest, the Complainant was taken to hospital and treated for a fractured right wrist."

S.I.U. Analysis and Director's Decision

"The Complainant was seriously injured in the course of her arrest by TPS officers on February 23, 2026. The SIU was notified of the incident and initiated an investigation, naming the SO the subject official. The investigation is now concluded. On my assessment of the evidence, there are no reasonable grounds to believe that the SO committed a criminal offence in connection with the Complainant's arrest and injury.

Pursuant to section 25(1) of the Criminal Code, police officers are immune from criminal liability for force used in the course of their duties provided such force was reasonably necessary in the execution of an act that they were required or authorized to do by law.

The SO had been provided descriptions of the shoplifters. The Complainant and the CW matched the descriptions and were located nearby. On this record, I am satisfied that the Complainant was subject to arrest for theft.

I am also satisfied that the force used in the Complainant's arrest was lawful. This consisted of the officers grappling with her over the course of about three minutes to wrestle control of her arms. No weapons were used, and no strikes were delivered. The takedown was executed with minimal force and made sense in the circumstances. With the Complainant on the ground, the officers could better manage her resistance. In fact, it was shortly after the Complainant was placed on the ground that she was handcuffed.

In the result, while I accept that the Complainant broke her wrist in the altercation that marked her arrest, there are no reasonable grounds to believe that the injury is attributable to unlawful conduct on the part of the SO. As such, there is no basis for proceeding with criminal charges in this case. The file is closed."

Summary of the Toronto Police Service's Investigation

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison conducted an Administrative Investigation as is required by provincial legislation.

This investigation examined the circumstances of the custody injury in relation to the applicable legislation, policing services provided, procedures, and the conduct of the involved officers.

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation reviewed the following T.P.S. Procedures:

- Procedure 01-01 (Arrest);
- Procedure 01-02 (Search of Persons);
- Procedure 01-03 (Persons in Custody);
- Procedure 10-06 (Medical Emergencies);
- Procedure 13-16 (Special Investigations Unit);
- Procedure 13-17 (Notes and Reports);
- Procedure 15-01 (Incident Response (Use of Force/De-Escalation));
- Procedure 15-02 (Injury/Illness Reporting);
- Procedure 15-17 (In-Car Camera System); and
- Procedure 15-20 (Body-Worn Camera).

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation also reviewed the following provincial legislation:

- Special Investigations Unit Act (S.I.U.A.), 2019

Conclusion:

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation determined that the T.P.S.'s policies and procedures associated with this custody injury were lawful, in keeping with current legislation, and written in a manner which provided adequate and appropriate guidance to the members. None of the examined policies and procedures required modification.

The P.R.S. – S.I.U. Liaison investigation determined that the conduct of the involved officers was in compliance with applicable provincial legislation regarding the Standards of Conduct, the applicable T.P.S. Procedures.

Uniformed officers that participated in this occurrence were equipped with Body-Worn Cameras (B.W.C.). The marked Service vehicles in attendance were equipped with In-Car Camera Systems (I.C.C.S.). The officers utilized these devices to record the events, and this footage was disclosed to the S.I.U.

Chief Superintendent Shannon Dawson, Professionalism and Accountability, will be in attendance to answer any questions that the Board may have regarding this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Demkiw, M.O.M.
Chief of Police